

representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

I agree with the principle of incorporating the bands into the standard Amateur Radio (Full) License; but not on the proposed basis of non-interference to "Electronic Equipment". That has never been the case before - and I see no reason why it should be included now.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Yes, I agree.,

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:

Yes, I agree

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes, I agree.

Question 5: Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes, I agree.

Question 6: Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No. It is of paramount importance that other amateur radio operators and listeners can identify the stations on a particular frequency.

I believe that the old method, of identifying at the beginning & end of each transmission, along with periodic identification every fifteen minutes remains the ideal requirement.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No. It is absurd that the Regional Secondary Locator be regarded as anything other than mandatory.

Removing this requirement will lead to confusion on air as well as the loss of several DXCC entities.

Many award programs and logging systems rely on the RSLs, and to remove them will cause endless problems.

Question 8: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No I disagree. All call sign classes should be treated in the same way by retaining the current clause in respect of the callsign prefix

Question 9: Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes, I agree.

Question 10: Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes, I agree.