

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

Keep name confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:**

YES

**Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:**

YES

**Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':**

YES

**Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

NO

**Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:**

YES

**Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:**

NO.

THE CURRENT SYSTEM IS QUITE CLEAR, OFFERS A CONVENIENT LENGTH OF TIME FOR THOSE WHO MONITOR AND MOVE ON TO OTHER TASKS.

**Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:**

NO

THE CURRENT CLAUSES 2(2) AND 2(3) MUST REMAIN MANDATORY FOR THE CLEAR IDENTIFICATION OF THE LOCATION OF THE STATION WITHIN UK AND CROWN DEPENDENCIES..

I DO NOT SEE ANY UNCERTAINTY WITH THE WORDING &quot;SHALL USE.....&quot;

A &quot;DEFINITE MAYBE...&quot; IS A REAL CONFUSION NOT ONLY FOR FELLOW HAMS BUT OVER 2.400.000 HAMS WORLDWIDE AND THEIR RELEVANT INTERNATIONAL PUBLICATIONS.

**Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:**

NO

LEAVE THIS ONE ALONE TOO.

THERE IS NO AMBIGUITY IN THE CURRENT WORDING OF 2(3)

**Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:**

CLAUSE 2(1) COULD BE CHANGED TO READ :

/P TO REPRESENT A STATION THAT HAS BEEN CARRIED TO ANOTHER CLEARLY IDENTIFIED FIXED LOCATION.

/M TO REPRESENT A STATION THAT IS BEING TRANSPORTED AWAY FROM THE MAIN STATION LOCATION..

IN BOTH CASES STATIONS SHALL USE THE APPROPRIATE RSL AND TRANSMIT

A RECOGNISABLE GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATION WHEN GIVING THEIR CALLSIGN  
AS REQUIRES IN CLAUSE 13

**Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET  
operation under the Licence?:**

I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT ANY PROPOSED CHANGES WILL CLARIFY ORAMEND  
RAYNET OPERATION.