epresenting:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

No, I would rather the NOV remain in place, that way it would ensure hopefully, that only those amateurs who are interested in the band would apply for an NOV to their licence. Introducing it into the licence for all full licensees runs the risk of an upsurge of users, and considering the restrictions on the use of 5Mhz runs a greater chance of disruption to non amateur parts of the band which would more than likely upset the MOD.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes, I consider this as an excellent idea.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Agreed as long as their will be action taken against ANYONE found to be in violation of the rules of the Wireless Telegraphy act amateur or not.

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes it should be removed as it will allow a bit of flexibility if one forgets to revalidate their licence when required for reasons beyond their control

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes I agree, I would be quite happy to return to paying a licence fee as before hopefully giving our bands protection and rules are adhered to.

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Keep it to 15Mins, after all how long does it take to identify your station?. I have often heard a qso in progress without either station identifying themselves at all!

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

I believe there is no need for any change to the RSL rules as they are, it would only cause total confusion.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

The format we have at the moment is fine. As I said before changing this would bring even more confusion, but I predict even if it is changed everyone would carry on using the format we have at present anyway.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes I agree

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes I agree