Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

No - Not as proposed.

Bands such as 470 KHz and 5 MHz which have a much larger amount of restrictions are better handled by the NoV system.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes - Agree. When a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation of a named club to use the spectrum is no longer representing the named club that person should have that license revoked in their name to ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

No - Disagree. On the basis that no action appears to be taken on offenders of the WT Act.

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes - Agree. It will allow greater flexibility if a Licensee has personal issues with the revalidation process.

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes - I agree. A modest annual fee would go a long way in helping to addminister and protect our Amateur licence's and help in solving EMC problems and miss use of the bands.

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No - Not as proposed. The current maximum interval of 15 minutes to give station identification should be retained and also station identification should be given at the start and end of an over and QSO.

Ultra -slow Morse and Ultra - Slow Data Modes, the stations identification should be sent as frequent as reasonably practicle during the course of the transmission.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No - there is no need to change current and widely accepted practice. Making this optional will be more confusing to UK and world wide radio amateurs.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No - I disagree. Leave it as it is.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

N/A

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?: