

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

Keep name confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:**

No, it seems to me that these particular bands have special restrictions in the NOVs that are best left within the bounds of the NOV itself rather than being additional notes to the general band plan conditions.

**Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:**

Yes, I think this is a good idea so that the 'club' licence can be transferred more easily from a non-active club member to an active member thus ensuring that club activities are not hampered by for example, a disagreement with the current licence holder and the club committee.

**Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:**

Yes.

**Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

Yes, there may be circumstances whereby a licensee may not be able to confirm the details in time perhaps through temporary ill health over an extended period.

**Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:**

Yes, in order to be consistent I would agree.

**Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:**

No, I think the current requirements are very reasonable.

**Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:**

No, in my relative short period of being a radio amateur I have not experienced any confusion with regard to the Regional Secondary Locator. In fact it is sometimes a useful indicator in VHF.UHF operating when determining the beam headings for a weak station.

**Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:**

No, I think this should remain as it is to be consistent with the above.

**Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:**

Yes, in this case a simpler and complete clause would help to clarify operating away from the main station address including operating abroad.

**Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:**

No.