Ofcom may publish a response summary:
Yes
I confirm that I have read the declaration:
Yes
Additional comments:
Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:
No. We cannot be expected to avoid intereferring with poorly designed non-radio "otherelectronic equipment". Also, we would like to claim protection from such equipment. Although these terms are proposed for these two bands only, I worry that the clauses will spread to our other bands in time.
Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4

Representing:

Organisation (if applicable):

Keep name confidential

Yes. Good idea. Thanks.

Yes.

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Self

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes.

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes.

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Yes.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No.

I think the callsign used on-air should reflect the current location, not reflect the main address.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No.

There is some confusion with the intermediate licence, but this does not solve it. I think the callsign used on-air should reflect the current location, not reflect the main address.

I think the idea of issueing a "core" callsign is good.

You also need to change your guidance booklet and on-screen advice. When I last used them, the advice given was that users could choose a preferred callsign of the format '2E...", but, when we tried, none of the preferred callsigns was "available". In fact, the licence issued was in the format "20...". If you could change your advice to match what will really happen, that would be good.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

No comment.