

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Name

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Better publicity advertising changes, via more prominently displayed in Rad Com, or e-mails. so changes are not missed so easy. Not many licence holder buys the daily newspaper it is advertised in, or only read the technical bits.in Radcom, unless it jumps out at them (me). Older people (me) may not have the best eyes in the world.

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

NO- I disagree Should be available to full licence holders, and to secondary on the basis as to not cause interference to other services.

Para 2.26.6 should NOT be included as well as the wording `Electronic`

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

YES- I agree

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

YES- I agree

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes- I agree but a prompt should be sent near renewal time, in writing or e-mail

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes- I agree this would be sensible

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

NO- I disagree The present long established rules should be retained, maximum times should be retained and prevent lazy operators making excuses.. Identify stations by mode being used, NOT specific voice, morse data etc.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

NO- I disagree There is no need to change a well established and well understood system, all over the world in it`s present form for over 50 years. To change would cause confusion.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

NO- I disagree All licence holders should be treated the same. So retain the present call sign system.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

YES- I agree This is sensible

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

YES-I agree I have no interest in Raynet, but anything to make things clearer is good