### **Representing:**

Self

#### **Organisation (if applicable):**

#### What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

#### Additional comments:

### Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Mostly yes but wording re interference to electronic equipment is not harmonised with wording in rest of the licence. Why not use the same wording as for other bands where Amateur radio is a Secondary user.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Yes

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

## Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

No opinion

### Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Why not keep the requirement to identify at least every 15 minutes and when operating at other than the licensed main station location to give the location to accuracy of 5km using the modulation scheme in use.

#### Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

Keep Regional secondary locators as compulsory to identify the region of the main station address. When operating away from the main station require transmitting location to be transmitted at intervals of not more than 15 minutes to an accuracy of 5km using a recognised system.

### Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

See my earlier comments. RSL should reflect main station address as listed on the licence document. If transmitting from another location then the true location should be periodically given.

# Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

### Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes but if an incident commander requires a message be encrypted then the amateur station operator should perfom the encryption or at least know the true plaintext meaning of the message being transmitted.

Yes