

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

none

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

No, I disagree with this latest proposal and feel that NOV`S should stay in place. Especially on frequencies such as 5 mhz in which we are secondary users.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes I agree as this ensures that the clubs call sign or call sign`s stay with the club under any circumstance

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Yes I agree this seems like the best way forward.

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

No. I disagree. The time limit reminds people to renew their license

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

No. I disagree as this seems like a complete reversal of the previous position regarding our license fees.

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No. I disagree because the maximum time set for a station to Identify its self as laid out in section 13 has worked extremely well over the years and I feel that there is no need to relax the requirement for I.D

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No. I disagree as I see no need for a change in RSL`S. The present situation has worked well for many years. for identification/location purposes. I feel that losing RSL`S would be detrimental to the hobby.

The RSL`S provide us with 6 DXCC which are very useful in Amateur Radio Contests and also as a member of the Worked All Britain Awards Group these RSLs give us a very good idea where the stations are located within the United Kingdom..

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

Yes I agree as it has been in use over a long period of time.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes I agree more clarification is very useful.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes I agree as this proposal it could in fact save someones life.