What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:
Keep name confidential
If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:
Keep Name Confidential
Ofcom may publish a response summary:
Yes
I confirm that I have read the declaration:
Yes
Additional comments:
Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:
Yes, this is a good idea. Although those who have not yet got an NOV may need easy access to the specific terms of the use of these bands.
Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include

circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4

ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Yes

Yes,

epresenting:

**Organisation (if applicable):** 

Self

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes, as long as the stated intention that the the impact is likely to be negligible

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Yes. Although the operating practice of many amateurs does already vary considerably and in some cases does not follow existing "rules" so any further relaxation may make this sitation worse.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

The present system has generally worked well, and as long as it is understood that the secondary identifier refers to the physical location of the main station ( not postcode district as has happened along the Wales /Englnad border ) . When operating at a /A /P /M or /MM location changing the secondary locator is no more onerous than adding location details.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

The system for the intermediate licence should match that of all other licence classes

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?: