

**representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

Keep name confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:**

NO

I agree with the principal, but not with the conditions attached.

I do not agree with Para. 2.26.3, this should be omitted entirely.

Para. 2.26.6 The requirements in this paragraph are impractical. Use Clause e in Schedule 1  
These bands should be made available under the same conditions that the other bands are already made available, with no extra conditions.

**Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:**

YES

**Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:**

YES

**Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

YES

**Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:**

NO OPINION

**Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:**

NO

The 15 minute interval should be retained, The ID should be in the emission mode being used. No specific mode of emission should be stipulated.

**Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:**

NO.

Keep the secondary RSL mandatory.

There is no good reason to change and vast confusion will result from such a change.

What matters is where the station is located at the time it is being used. The division of the UK into defined countries for Amateur Radio purposes is a well established, widely understood and accepted position. To change this will be extremely disruptive and of no value benefit to the users.

There is no confusion within the Amateur community.

**Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:**

NO

What matters is the location of the transmitter.

There is no reason why the intermediate licence should be any different to the other classes. Rather than have the "tail wag the dog" approach to fixing what is clearly a mistake when issuing the license documents, Ofcom should correct the mistake.

**Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to**

**the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:**

No Opinion

**Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:**

YES