

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Paul

Surname:

Pasquet

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Rather than try to evade dealing with interference caused by electronic devices that pollute the Amateur Spectrum is there any chance you could deal with it ? And while we are at it any chance you might consider doing something about blatant power transgressions by Radio Amateurs upon being given details and supporting data ?..If its cost thats a problem bring back the licence fee because I'm sure most amateurs would pay to have these issues solved

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Not as proposed,and certainly not with this clause in it

""The station must not cause interference to, and may not claim protection from other

wireless telegraphy or electronic equipment."

This appears to be a brazen attempt for OFCOM to duck their legal responsibility to spectrum users.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

YES

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

YES

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

YES

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

NO

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No I feel there is nothing wrong with the current situation and that the identification should be at the beginning and end of each period of transmission

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

NO

I find it staggering that OFCOMS knowledge of the hobby they licence is so poor.Doing so gets rid of convention AND disrupts award criteria that is recognised the world over..

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

NO and furthermore this is clearly discrimination.I also cant see why on earth its neccesary..

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

YES

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

YES