

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Roy Edwin John

Surname:

Peters

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

None.

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Why change something that has worked for years?

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes. It would save both OFCOM and amateurs a lot of time spent in applying for and issuing NOV's.

Question 2: Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Yes. I see no problem as long as a Full licence holder is operating for a Club with the Club permission and Callsign.

Question 3: Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

Yes.

Question 4: Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes.

Question 5: Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes.

Question 6: Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

The current system has worked well for many years. It is the responsibility of trainers to ensure amateurs use RSL's correctly. If changes are made in UK they could well affect comms with other countries especially in DXCC matters.

I have heard several continental stations refuse to answer 2E0 calls because they consider the callers to be pirates because they say 2E"Oh" instead of 2Ezero. That causes confusion. Clubs and other amateurs should police this problem ourselves.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No. Correct tuition should obviate the necessity to legislate this extra requirement.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

Absolutely not. Why should they be discriminated against like that? They are taught to give locators in QSO's, although in my experience many are wary of giving specific locations available to burglars with scanners or unscrupulous amateurs who exist in our community. This is why some amateurs resist having locations published in RSGB yearbook and on QRZ.Com. We often hear unguarded remarks from amateurs giving full details of valuable equipment in use at the station, followed by "Don't call me for two weeks as I shall be abroad on holiday.

We must remember that including locations helps thieves.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Possibly.Yes

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?: