Title:
Mr
Forename:
Keith
Surname:
Radford
Representing:
Self
Organisation (if applicable):
Email:
What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:
No
If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:
Ofcom may publish a response summary:
Yes
I confirm that I have read the declaration:
Yes
Additional comments:
I am so disappointed that you have not reconsidered:

- 1. Power level maximum for Full Licence Holders
- 2. Limited life of foundation licences ie. to promote progress through the licencing structure to Full Licence status.
- 3. The position on one licencee having several callsign.

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

I agree

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

I agree

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

I agree

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

I agree

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

I agree

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

I DISAGREE. Particularly listening to a repeater conversation, there is nothing worse than not knowing who the hell is talking to whom.

You need to AT LEAST have callsigns every 15m....I would prefer at least every 10 MINS as a minimum.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

I EMPHATICALLY DISAGREE. RSLs are important not only to UK radio amateurs but also to those overseas. Look at the disaster caused by the US administration in allowing those who move home to maintain their existing "call area" callsigns - we now have Hawaiian prefixes operating from Florida - BUT WE DON'T KNOW THEY'RE IN FLORIDA!!! RSLs should be maintained and the rule should be:

Main station has RSL of the region it is sited. Mobile station uses the RSL of the region it is in.

THIS SHOULD APPLY TO ALL LICENCES. Please maintain and ENFORCE RSLs.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

I DISAGREE. Please see the comment for Q8. above. Intermediate licencess should be treated the same as full licences such that their location includes the RSL of their location at the time. The licence should indeed include the RSL of the main station, but when mobile or in a temporary location, the callsign should reflect the region and it should be MANDATORY.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

I agree

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

I agree