

Title:

Mr

Forename:

John Anthony

Surname:

Riley

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes, but reference to interference to "electronic equipment" should be deleted. We accept we should not interfere with primary users of radio services but are concerned about accusations of interference with unspecified equipment.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named

club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Yes, providing measures are taken to ensure clubs keep up to date information about the responsible club officers with Ofcom so that a licence can be revoked and then transferred to a new club holder efficiently and avoid extended dispute.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:

Yes

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes. Ofcom should be required to notify the licence holder that the time for re-validation is nigh.

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No. Present requirements of the licence should be retained. A clear maximum of 15 minutes is well understood and operators are happy with present arrangements.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No. Present use of RSLs is well established and recognised. A problem does not exist. Making it optional actually creates confusion. Also most users of RSLs would prefer to keep using them. There is also effect on contests etc. No change please.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No. Keep present arrangements and if you think there is confusion issue better guidelines to give clarification.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?: