

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Guy

Surname:

Roberts

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes i agree

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

yes i agree it will be useful should a holder become estranged from a club

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

Yes i agree

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements':

No comment

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet':

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations':

No i disagree, it is no hardship to transmit a callsign and 15 minutes should remain mandatory.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above':

Disagree strongly. All licences should be issued without geographic secondary and it needs to be mandatory that the identifier be inserted when in the geographic locality it is needed. If this is not done it has international ramifications for contests and awards and will lead to further confusion. additionally in cases of interference the use of the /p etc. was made optional some time ago and if a station is causing interference a wasted journey to a home address might be avoided if the station is stating by use of the secondary locator they are in a different country.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station':

as above the current norm should be made mandatory

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to

the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

no opinion

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

no opinion