

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Richard

Surname:

Shears

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):**Email:****What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

I am responding solely to show that the limited number of responses to date does not represent any lack of interest or involvement in the consultation, but rather tacit agreement that the proposals are welcome, well explained, and require no comment apart from a string of 10 'yes' replies.

Matters such as the retention of a narrow-band segment at 3456 MHz, and the simplification of the plurality of GB/NI/CI/Manx prefixes which overseas amateurs find so confusing are not addressed in this consultation, and thus it is not appropriate to comment on them in this response.

Concerning paragraphs 2.108 - 2.112, for which no reply is solicited at this time, it would be useful if the licence could include 1) the 'front page' being in the UN official languages,

therefore Spanish, Arabic, Russian and Chinese as well as the existing languages 2) An area on the front page for the insertion of a statement 'the licensee has completed a morse proficiency test at XX wpm as required in the following countries: IND, PAK, MEX, etc.' These two additions would add very little load on Ofcom but save a lot of grief when travelling to (e.g.) Latin America and the Stans, and by use of UN standards would not embroil Ofcom in diplomatic disputes about which languages were or were not included.

Question 1: Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes

Question 2: Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Yes

Question 3: Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

Yes

Question 4: Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes

Question 5: Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes

Question 6: Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Yes

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution

for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

Yes

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

Yes

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes