

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Michael

Surname:

Shread

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes. There have been cases where call signs of an historical nature, have been improperly assigned to an individual, where they were intended to remain as 'club', property.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

Yes. This is important in my view. The nature, bearing and character of an 'unsuitable person', should be excluded from holding a transmitting licence in order to protect the spectrum and amateur radio regard and respect.

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements':

Yes. A person disqualified, should be allowed the right to appeal.

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet':

Yes. The licence terms and conditions, should be transparent and unambiguous. There are a number of terms, presently, which are ambiguous and open to misinterpretation.

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations':

I believe that the regional locator, should be retained or, at least optional.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above':

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station':

Yes. There is a history of foundation and intermediate licence holders, abusing the terms and conditions of the licence held. Not least with regard to exceeding transmitter power levels.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer':

Only to a degree.

Question 10: Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes.