

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Stephen

Surname:

Slater

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

THERE IS NO NEED TO CHANGE THE SECONDARY LOCATORS BECAUSE THIS WOULD HAVE A WORLD WIDE EFFECT AND WOULD NOT BE JUST AN ISOLATED CHANGE AFFECTING UK AMATEUR RADIO OPERATORS ONLY. I FEEL IT CONFLICTS WITH WHAT AMATEUR RADIO OPERATING IS ALL ABOUT. I WONDER IF THIS IS A FEELER IN CASE SCOTLAND VOTES FOR INDEPENDENCE?

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

YES

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

YES

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

YES

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

YES

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

YES

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

NO- IF ANYTHING I WOULD SAY THAT IDENTIFICATION SHOULD BE GIVEN AT THE END OF EACH OVER.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

NO NOT AT ALL.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

YES BUT SO SHOULD ALL LICENCE HOLDERS NOT JUST INTERMEDIATE LICENCE HOLDERS.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

THE EXISTING CLAUSES ARE PERFECTLY ADEQUATE.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

NO.