

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Steve

Surname:

Smith

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep organisation confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

Yes

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Yes

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

Yes

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

Yes

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes, However, with respect to High Altitude Ballooning (HAB) experiments, it would also be useful to clarify whether Amateur radio licence holder may uplink to an airborne licence exempt receiver, such as carried on board an airborne HAB. This would be especially useful

for emergency cut-downs or shutdown of transmitters.

Furthermore, to clarify whether the use of an Amateur Radio Callsign is permitted to be used as the identifying callsign of a payload which at the moment is a very grey area due to it's airborne nature, despite HABs using licence exempt transmitters falling in the ISM parts of Amateur bands.

Additionally, I would like to see the licence cover the whole gamut of HAB experimentation, including permitting airborne use. Perhaps with an upper power limit of 100mW within either the standing ISM bands or other part of the Amateur bands (likely 70cms).

Question 10: Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes