

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Ray

Surname:

Tarling

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

"2.27.6

Communic

ation may also be established with military or military cadet organisations by transmitting and receiving only in the 5 MHz band"

Comment: Proposal supported but how, in order avoid transmitting illegally to unauthorised stations, are such "military or military cadet organisations" to be identified ?

"2.85

Under

T/R 61

-

01

, the Licence must make it clear that the licensee is authorised to operate under that Recommendation. The licensee must also be able to present the Licence to the relevant local authorities in the country in which they are operating

50

.

Ofcom has notified CEPT that the only type of UK Licence to authorise overseas operation is the Amateur Radio (Full) Licence

licence"

. Comment: but some countries (Australia ?) do (and others may in future) authorise use of an Intermediate licence. Does this mean that licence holders would be in breach of their licence to take advantage of this concession ?!

Question 1: Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes - see additional comment.

Question 2: Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Yes

Question 3: Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:

Yes

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Yes but "as frequently as is practicable" is capable of a strict definition meaning "as frequently as possible" which is more onerous and not as intended. I prefer "as frequently as is reasonable".

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

Yes

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No - to be consistent with the proposals for other classes of licence, country identifiers should be removed altogether from Intermediate call signs.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes - but see comment.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes