Title:
Mr
Forename:
Peter
Surname:
Torry
Representing:
Self
Organisation (if applicable):
Email:
What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:
No
If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:
Ofcom may publish a response summary:
Yes
I confirm that I have read the declaration:
Yes
Additional comments:
Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course,

the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full)

licensees?:

I agree that the bands should be made available to all Full Licencees as per the "Secondary allocation" i.e. available on the basis of non-interference to other services inside and outside the UK".

Concern is raised over Paragraph 2.26.6, which should be omitted entirely, as well as the phrase 'electronic equipment' in 2.26.3

With respect to Paragraph 2.26.6, Clause (e) in Notes to Schedule1 of the existing licence is sufficient and does not need to be reinforced in relation to the 472kHz entry.'

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

I agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of

a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

I Agree

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

I agree

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

I agree

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Disagree.

The current callsign usage and the current maximum interval of 15-minutes should be retained. Station identification should be in a format consistent with the type of modulation in use rather than specifying the mode of identification.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

I see no need whatsoever to change current mandated and widely accepted practice. In view of more than 50 years of practice any change of current practice would be most confusing and cause disruption both nationally and internationally

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

I am of the opinion that all call sign classes should be treated in the same way by retaining the current clause in respect of the callsign prefix. From an EMC viewpoint the location of the station is important not the administraive address.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

I agree

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

I agree