#### Title:

Please select

#### Forename:

William

#### Surname:

Tracey

#### **Representing:**

Self

#### **Organisation (if applicable):**

#### What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep part of the response confidential

#### If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

email address

#### Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

#### I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

#### **Additional comments:**

### Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

We have secondary access to other bands and the license is perfectly adequate in its terms with regards to these, Access to 470 khz and 5 mhz should by full license holders with the same secondary conditions.

Paragraph 2.26.3 should be amended so that electronic equipment which is not radio related should not be included as it is presently not investigated by ofcom in relation to interference which it may be experiencing.

As far as Paragraph 2.26.6 is concerned a common sense approach should be encouraged as near field rf at 470Khz is difficult to measure

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

I generally agree with your proposal, some means of linking the club callsign with club officers should be found.

## Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Yes I agree

## Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

I agree with this, it would be so simple to forget to revalidate. Some form of communication should be "automatically" sent to the license holder be that mail or email or text etc.

# Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

I agree..simplify please

# Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

The present method of identification is perfectly fine and understood by all. I see no reason for change

#### Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

The UK amateur radio license callsign prefix has been in use longer than I care to remember and is understood internationally. We all reside in different countries but are United and have a common government, currency etc. Do not remove my national identity, there is no problem with RSL`s Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

I disagree, keep RSL's as they are

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

I agree , put both clauses together and save space

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

•••