

Title:

Forename:

Surname:

Name withheld 11

Representing:

Self

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

No

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree that it would be appropriate to increase the minimum contributions to alternative signing arrangements to bring them back to the 2007 level in real terms, and to make annual adjustments for inflation thereafter? If not, why not?:

Yes, the funding arrangements needs to be fair to both parties. However it is my personal opinion is that £20,000 is very low budget for TV channel, we should had a higher budget obligation on TV channel so that Deaf people can watch programmes in BSL with wider ranges of choices.

Question 2:Do you agree that it would not be appropriate to base adjustments to the minimum level of contributions to alternative arrangements on comparisons with the costs of existing sign-presented programmes, or with general TV production costs? If not, why not?:

I think it could be appropriate if it does show significant improvement for BSLBT's overall budget. Some TV channel are paying very little costs at the moment depends on size of their budget.

Question 3:Do you agree that it would be appropriate to make annual adjustments to the minimum contributions to alternative arrangements in line with the Consumer Price Index, and to make consequential change to the Guidance, as set out in Annex 4? If not, why not?:

I am sure CPI would be fine adjustments to use.

Question 4:Do you consider that minimum signing requirements for relevant channels should remain fixed at 30 minutes a month or should rise progressively over a ten year period to 75 minutes a month? If the latter, do you agree that consequential changes should be made to the Code, as set out in Annex 4? Please explain the reasons for your preference. :

Minimum signing requirement should increase to 75 minutes a month, remember this is only one hour and 15 mins in a whole month which is nothing compared to 24 hour tv everyday.

Question 5:Do you consider that the transitional arrangements set out in Figure 4 would be appropriate if relevant channels are made subject to rising obligations? If so, do you agree that consequential changes should be made to the Code, as set out in Annex 4?:

Yes

Question 6:Do you consider that minimum contributions by relevant channels to alternative requirements should remain fixed at £20,000 a year (adjusted for inflation) or should rise progressively over a ten year period to £50,000 a year (also adjusted for inflation)? Please explain the reasons for your preference.:

Yes the budget should increase significantly. The TV channel spend millions or billions on making or buying TV programmes. £50,000 is still a bragain to them.