

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

Question 1: Do you agree with our proposals for amending the Digital Radio Technical Code in relation to DAB+? Do you have any views on how we propose its use will be permitted?:

DAB+ should not be used at all, until a large number of compatible radio sets are in the field, maybe a replacement system to swap sets to dab+

Question 2: Do you have any comments in relation to our proposals to amend the planning standards?:

Minimum standards should be applied

Question 3: Do you have any comments on our proposed approach to Unequal Error Protection and Equal Error Protections?:

None

Question 4: Do you agree with our proposals in relation to management of Adjacent Channel Interference?:

None

Question 5: Do you agree with our proposals for amending the Digital Radio Technical Code in relation to multiplex capacity allocation? Do you have any alternative suggestions?:

Ensure a mix of audio genres at all times

Question 6: Do you agree with our proposals in relation to the management of TA/TP features on DAB? We are particularly interested to hear any views on issues that could affect implementation of these proposals.:

Old technology

Question 7: Do you have any additional comments on either the draft Digital Radio Technical Code or Technical Policy Guidance note?:

None

Question 8: Do you have any other comments to make on any of the matters raised in this consultation?:

2 new multiplexes should be offered

2 new multiplexes would be cheaper now than one now and another in a few years time. if channel 4 radio had launched a few years ago, we would be discussing about D3 now.

Possible for one whole MUX in dab and one in dab+, or other combinations