

Consultation response form

Question	Your response
Question 3.1: Do you agree with our proposal to remove the obligation for telecoms providers to provide the local dialling facility? Please provide reasons for your response.	Confidential? – Y / N YES Being old enough to remember the introduction of STD, and "button A and B " call boxes, I have enjoyed the technological improvements in voice communication that have taken place over the last 6 decades. I now rarely use my landline to make calls, and my children and grandchildren all have mobiles, therefore I almost automatically think of 11 digits not 6 when remembering a number. Also all the common numbers I use are stored in the landline handset. So the benefits to telecoms in general far outweigh any minor personal inconvenience.
Question 3.2: Do you agree with our proposal to retain the provisions in the Numbering Plan which (i) allocate location significance to area codes and (ii) allow phone users to request out-of-area use of geographic numbers? Please provide reasons for your response.	 Confidential? – Y / N YES to both sections i) I still take into account the indicated location when contacting institutions for the first time, and as long as the scenario in ii) does not become dominant, this has a purpose. ii) There are many legitimate reasons for out of area geographic numbers. Most of which were clearly expressed in the two consultation documents.
Question 4.1: Do you agree with our proposal to modify the Numbering Plan to prohibit direct and indirect revenue sharing with the calling party for calls to all geographic and	Confidential? – Y / N YES

non-geographic numbers? Please provide reasons for your response.	I did not realise this practice was actually allowed, therefore I can only say that any system whereby the caller has possible influence on the cost to the called, albeit indirect, should not be permissible.
--	---

Please complete this form in full and return to <u>futureofnumberingteam@ofcom.org.uk</u>.