

## Your response

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 1: Do you agree that Ofcom should consider working with relevant industry partners to develop a voluntary testing standard, and publishing a list on our website of static indoor mobile phone repeaters that comply with our licence exemption requirements?</b></p>	<p>Yes, I agree.</p> <p>As ever the issue will be to inform and educate the end-user. Were it possible to point to a universally-accessible resource such as the propose list such efforts might be better received.</p> <p>As acknowledged, Ofcom will need to be diligent in maintaining a `distancing` of the listing from any acusations of preference. In the short term, where devices are in short supply, this could be difficult to justify but such a `lean` list could accelerate the efforts of manufacturers to comply with the proposed testing regime.</p>
<p><b>Question 2: Do you agree that we should modify IR 2102.1 to allow for 'provider specific' mobile phone repeaters? If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.</b></p>	<p>Yes, I agree.</p> <p>While MNOs are working to deliver improved coverage, certain buildings (stone, high-rise, forest-located) will often experience reduced signal levels to those available outdoors, in the clear. In my case, there is a difference between levels available at the front and rear of the building.</p> <p>Indoors, levels will range between nil (for my MNO=Emergency Calls only) to 3G-capable only.</p>
<p><b>Question 3: Do you agree that we should make 'multi-operator' mobile phone repeaters complying with the technical requirements outlined above (and set out in the draft UK Radio Interface Requirement IR 2102.3 at Annex A3) licence exempt? If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.</b></p>	<p>Yes, I agree.</p> <p>Other than in multi-occupancy residences, Multi-contract situations are inevitable in business premises. Increasingly businesses are encouraging (and often cost-ameliorating) employees to use their personal equipment for business use.</p>
<p><b>Question 4: Do you agree with our provisional view as set out in paragraph 3.48 above? If you do not agree, please explain why you think the requirement is not necessary.</b></p>	<p>Yes, I agree.</p> <p>The more vulnerable are less likely to be `early-adopters` of newer technology.</p>

**Question 5: Do you agree that it would not be appropriate to allow the use of licence-exempt repeaters in the 2.6 GHz band? If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.**

Yes, I agree.  
Public and private convenience must never take precedence over safety-of-life scenarios.

**Question 6: Do you agree that we should allow the use of static indoor mobile phone repeaters (on a licence-exempt basis) in the paired 700 MHz mobile band?**

Yes, I agree.  
I can see no social or technical reason to deny it. I may not be necessary,, however, to make it a ~requirement~ for approval of a device.