

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you agree with our proposal to extend safety related ITS by 20 MHz from 5905 MHz to 5925 MHz?</p>	<p>Bosch supports these changes since they lead to more harmonization within CEPT.</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree with our proposal to permit outdoor mobile/nomadic use of 5150 to 5250 MHz and airborne use in 5170 to 5250 MHz band for WAS/RLAN applications, as well as our proposal to clarify the DFS and TPC requirement in the 5250 to 5350 MHz band?</p>	<p>Bosch welcomes allowing mobile/nomadic use and the clarification for the DFS and TPC requirements.</p> <p>For the band 5150-5250 MHz, Bosch would like to suggest further clarifications, similar to ECC Dec (04)08:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That an in-vehicle use is indeed considered as a use within an enclosed space. So the enclosed space can be a moving object. 2. The proposed text in IR2030/8/1a requests that a vehicle needs to have at least the same attenuation characteristics as either a building or an aircraft. It may not be feasible to increase the attenuation of a vehicle to a sufficient level to allow WiFi operation within that vehicle. 3. Further clarification may be necessary, how much attenuation would be required or how much the transmit power must be reduced to have legal certainty for in-vehicle use. At the same time, the proposal does not consider a reduction of transmit power to meet the requirement. 4. Please note that the manufacturer of the in-vehicle WiFi equipment may not know about the attenuation characteristics of all vehicles/types of vehicles in which the equipment may be used. There is a need to have a testable requirement on the level of the WiFi equipment. A reduced transmit power level would be a simple solution to ensure appropriate low

	<p>interference on the outside of a vehicle.</p> <p>Therefore, we propose to modify IR2030/8/1a to allow a reduced transmit power for in-vehicle use, similar to the 25mW limit for in-vehicle use in ECC Dec. 04(08).</p>
Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal to liberalise some of the technical conditions in some UWB devices?	<p>Bosch supports the proposal to liberalise some of the technical conditions for UWB devices as proposed in draft IR (Annex A2).</p> <p>Bosch has only one comment on Location Tracking Type 2 (LT2) applications. Location Tracking Type 2 (LT2) systems following ECC/REC/(11)09 are not listed in the Interface Requirement. Therefore, Robert Bosch would like to propose that such LT2 systems shall be added to the IR.</p>
Question 4: Do you agree with our proposal to close the 24 GHz SRR band to new applications?	<p>Bosch supports these changes. Bosch itself has no 24GHz SRR product</p>
Question 5: Do you agree with our proposal to make some technical and minor editorial changes to SRD applications in the 870/915 MHz bands?	<p>Bosch supports the proposed amendments to IR 2030 (SRD applications in the 870/915 MHz bands).</p>