## **Your response**

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you have any comments on our proposals to gather additional antenna parameters, and would you prefer Ofcom to specify a small number of antenna pattern 'envelopes' or for users to provide details of the specific antenna parameters in use for Ofcom to assess? Please provide reasons for your views.	No
Question 2: Do you have comments on the suggested approach to enable user-led coordination in certain circumstances?	
Question 3: Do you have any comments on our proposal to increase the power level of our Low Power product by 3dBm in the 3.8-4.2 GHz band?	This is a good idea, I think this should be increased further in the rural and maritime areas
Question 4 Do you have any comments on our proposal to remove the requirement for licensees holding a Low Power 3.8-4.2 GHz licence to keep a record of the address at which mobile terminals connected to an indoor base station will be used?	This is a good idea.
Question 5: Do you agree with our proposals to assume synchronisation between users, and coordinate base station to terminal instead of base station to base station in the 3.8-4.2GHz band? If no, please explain how other measures could increase sharing of the band.	No comment
Question 6. Please indicate whether you support our preferred option of coordination at -88 dBm/20 MHz (based on I/N of + 3dB, at 1.5m) or a more conservative alternative of -91 dBm/20 MHz (based on I/N of 0dB at 3m), with reasons for your view.	No comment
Question 7: Do you agree with our proposals for an increase in BEL in 3.8-4.2GHz? If no, are there alternatives which you consider could better achieve similar results?	No comment
Question 8: Do you agree with our proposal that adjacent band protection for Shared Access users is in future limited to considering only the first 5 MHz above and below UK Broadband assignments?	Yes

Question 9: Do you agree with our assessment	Yes as long as the MNO monopoly is broken
that, in circumstances where localised	and they are forced to share unused spectrum.
shortages of spectrum have occurred, pricing can be used to influence requested spectrum amounts?	
Question 10: Do you agree that we should take measures to reflect the impact of bandwidth, power levels and urban/rural location in our pricing approach for the 3.8-4.2 GHz band? Do you think there are other factors we should be taking into account?	Yes in full.
Question 11: How do you consider the illustrative prices would impact your spectrum requirements and future deployment plans in the 3.8-4.2 GHz band? Please provide evidence in support of your view.	We require a significant scope of spectrum to provide rural and remote connectivity, we see this as part of an ESG goal that the UK should be supporting, that of minority telecom's trying to bring good use cases to market.
Question 12: Do you have any comments on our proposals to clarify the circumstances in which exceptions are available, the tests we will apply, and how this supports user flexibility outside our overarching rules?	No
Question 13: Do you agree with our overall approach based around refining our existing coordination framework for Shared Access, whilst monitoring future opportunities for more user led and outcomes led coordination where evidence suggests it would be of benefit?	Yes
Question 14: Do you agree with our assessment of the potential impact on specific groups of persons?	No Comment
Question 15: Do you agree with our assessment of the potential impact of our proposal on the Welsh language? Do you think our proposal could be formulated or revised to ensure, or increase, positive effects, or reduce/eliminate any negative effects, on opportunities to use the Welsh language and treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English?	No Comment
Question 16: Do you have any other comments on the proposals set out in this document?	No Comment

Please complete this form in full and return to  $\underline{sharedaccess responses@ofcom.org.uk}.$