

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you agree with our proposals to extend the licence exemption relating to mobile terminals connecting to an MCA to include 5G devices? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	<p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>No response.</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree with our proposals to extend the licence exemption relating to mobile terminals connecting to an MCV to include 5G terminals? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	<p>No response.</p>
<p>Question 3: Do you agree with our proposals to introduce new licence exemptions for (i) Indoor Security Scanners and (ii) Audio PMSE devices? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	<p>No response.</p>
<p>Question 4: Do you agree with our proposals to amend the technical conditions for various SRDs as set out in this document? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	<p>No response.</p>
<p>Question 5: Do you have any additional comments on our proposed changes to the licence exemption for SRD equipment?</p>	<p>No response.</p>
<p>Question 6: Do you agree with our proposal to introduce new licence ex-</p>	<p>No response.</p>

Question	Your response
<p>emptions for Radiodetermination, Location Tracking, Tracing and Data Acquisition, Vehicle applications and High Power Indoor-only applications in the 6-8.5 GHz band? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	
<p>Question 7: Do you agree with our proposal to amend the existing licence exemption for generic UWB devices to make clear that the use of UWB in an aircraft, road vehicle or a train are not in scope of the exemption? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	<p>No response.</p>
<p>Question 8: Do you have any additional comments on our proposed changes to the licence exemption for UWB equipment?</p>	<p>No response.</p>
<p>Question 9: Do you agree with our proposals to introduce a new licence-exemption for Group B AMRDs in Channel 2006? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	<p>The General Lighthouse Authorities (GLA) are supportive of the proposal to exempt Group B AMRD using Channel 2006 from licencing.</p> <p>The current situation is that the use of “AMRD like devices” (such as AIS fishing net markers) is proliferating. These devices operate using the two maritime AIS Channels, namely AIS1 and AIS2. This introduces additional loading on those AIS channels which, preferably, should be kept clear for navigational and safety purposes.</p> <p>Making Group B AMRD licence exempt on Ch 2006 is likely to encourage their sale and use, so prompting a migration away from “legacy” devices that use the two AIS channels, thereby helping keep AIS free for navigational and safety use.</p> <p>Furthermore, Group B AMRD are limited to 100 mW output with an antenna no more than 1 m above sea level, so they have a low likelihood of causing any harmful interference to maritime AIS or GMDSS channels. Given the low likelihood of harmful interference, the GLA see</p>

Question	Your response
	no reason why they need licencing. In fact, the GLA would go further to say we see no reason why Group B AMRD would <i>benefit</i> from a licencing regime.
<p>Question 10: Do you agree with our proposals to introduce a new licence exemption for very low power maritime radios operating in an on-land training setting to be made licence-exempt? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	No response.
<p>Question 11: Do you agree with our proposals to extend the existing licence exemption for testing and development under suppressed radiation conditions? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	No response.
<p>Question 12: Do you agree with our proposals to extend the application of Regulation 7 of the 1989 Regulations i.e. that equipment users must conduct measurements to ensure that their equipment does not exceed the limits on spurious emissions, to anyone relying on the proposed exemption in the additional bands. Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	No response.
<p>Question 13: Do you have any other comments on our proposals to make amendments to the licence exemptions for this testing equipment?</p>	No response.
<p>Question 14: Do you agree with our proposals to extend the existing exemption for radio equipment operated by visiting amateur radio users,</p>	No response.

Question	Your response
to cover use by those on short visits from countries with which we have bilateral reciprocal licensing agreements?	
<p>Question 15: Do you agree with our proposals to define a temporary visit as a maximum period of three months? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	No response.
<p>Question 16: Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new licence exemption for Fixed Wireless Access equipment operating in the 5725-5850 MHz band? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	No response.

Please complete this form in full and return to regulations@ofcom.org.uk