

## Your response

Question	Your response
<b>Question 1:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to extend the licence exemption relating to mobile terminals connecting to an MCA to include 5G devices? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	No comment
<b>Question 2:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to extend the licence exemption relating to mobile terminals connecting to an MCV to include 5G terminals? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	No comment
<b>Question 3:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to introduce new licence exemptions for (i) Indoor Security Scanners and (ii) Audio PMSE devices? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	No comment
<b>Question 4:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to amend the technical conditions for various SRDs as set out in this document? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	No comment
<b>Question 5:</b> Do you have any additional comments on our proposed changes to the licence exemption for SRD equipment?	No comment
<b>Question 6:</b> Do you agree with our proposal to introduce new licence exemptions for Radiodetermination, Location Tracking, Tracing and Data Acquisition, Vehicle applications and	No comment

Question	Your response
High Power Indoor-only applications in the 6-8.5 GHz band? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	
<b>Question 7:</b> Do you agree with our proposal to amend the existing licence exemption for generic UWB devices to make clear that the use of UWB in an aircraft, road vehicle or a train are not in scope of the exemption? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	No comment
<b>Question 8:</b> Do you have any additional comments on our proposed changes to the licence exemption for UWB equipment?	No comment
<b>Question 9:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to introduce a new licence-exemption for Group B AMRDs in Channel 2006? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	No comment
<b>Question 10:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to introduce a new licence exemption for very low power maritime radios operating in an on-land training setting to be made licence-exempt? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	No comment
<b>Question 11:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to extend the existing licence exemption for testing and development under suppressed radiation conditions? Please give reasons	No comment

Question	Your response
and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	
<p><b>Question 12:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to extend the application of Regulation 7 of the 1989 Regulations i.e. that equipment users must conduct measurements to ensure that their equipment does not exceed the limits on spurious emissions, to anyone relying on the proposed exemption in the additional bands. Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	No comment
<p><b>Question 13:</b> Do you have any other comments on our proposals to make amendments to the licence exemptions for this testing equipment?</p>	No comment
<p><b>Question 14:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to extend the existing exemption for radio equipment operated by visiting amateur radio users, to cover use by those on short visits from countries with which we have bilateral reciprocal licensing agreements?</p>	<p>No, as it stands the proposal has a number of anomalies. It is based on existing bilateral reciprocal agreements that were established around 40 years ago. The world has changed in that time and the changes have not been taken into account.</p> <p>In the 1980's many of the countries listed only had a single class of amateur licence, now just like the UK and Crown Dependencies they have a multi-tiered system.</p> <p>Among the many examples of this are Brazil and Kenya. As written the proposal would give Kenya's Class B licence holders Full UK operating privileges. Kenya's Class B is equivalent to UK's Foundation.</p> <p>In the case of Thailand you specify that only the Intermediate licence is granted Full UK privileges. There are 3 classes of Thai licence:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Basic - equivalent to UK Foundation</li> <li>2) Intermediate - equivalent to UK Intermediate</li> <li>3) Advanced – equivalent to UK Full</li> </ol>

Question	Your response
	<p>As worded the proposal would exclude Thai Advanced holders. Advanced is the only Thai class that is equivalent to UK Full.</p> <p>I favour licence exempt operation for all classes of licence from the countries listed along the lines of that adopted by the ACMA in Australia. While Australia is not a country under consideration there is much to be learnt from their approach to this matter.</p> <p>Australia list the countries from which they allow all classes of visiting amateur to enjoy “licence-exempt” operation (called a Class licence there). ACMA list the equivalent Australian class licence whose conditions the visitors must adhere to.</p> <p>It is worth noting that Australia does this unilaterally. For example they permit operation by UK Foundation and Intermediate holders despite the UK’s Ofcom refusing to permit Australian Foundation and Standard class licence holders to operate in the UK and Crown Dependencies. The equivalency between Australia and UK is:</p> <p>Australian Foundation – UK Foundation</p> <p>Australian Standard – UK Intermediate</p> <p>Australian Advanced – UK Full</p> <p>See <a href="https://www.acma.gov.au/overseas-amateurs-visiting-australia">https://www.acma.gov.au/overseas-amateurs-visiting-australia</a></p>
<p><b>Question 15:</b> Do you agree with our proposals to define a temporary visit as a maximum period of three months? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.</p>	<p>No, it is too short.</p> <p>The existing bilateral agreements permit 6 month operation, the licence exempt arrangement should be for at least 6 months.</p> <p>Australia have a more flexible approach, they permit operation for up to 365 days.</p> <p>Having a longer period will reduce the administrative burden on Ofcom’s hard-working licensing staff.</p> <p>See Australia 365 days policy:  <a href="https://www.acma.gov.au/overseas-amateurs-visiting-australia">https://www.acma.gov.au/overseas-amateurs-visiting-australia</a></p>

Question	Your response
<b>Question 16:</b> Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new licence exemption for Fixed Wireless Access equipment operating in the 5725-5850 MHz band? Please give reasons and provide evidence that supports your comments on the proposals.	No comment

Please complete this form in full and return to [regulations@ofcom.org.uk](mailto:regulations@ofcom.org.uk)