

## Your response

Question	Your response
<b>Question 1:</b> Do you agree with our analysis of potential demand for the 1900 MHz band? Are you aware of any other potential demand for this spectrum, including any demand specific to Northern Ireland?	Yes
<b>Question 2:</b> Do you agree with our identification of FRMCS as the optimal use of the 1900–1910 MHz spectrum?	Yes
<b>Question 3:</b> Do you agree with our identification of ESN Gateways as the optimal use of the 1910–1915 MHz spectrum in Great Britain? Do you agree that it is too early to identify an optimal use of the 1910–1915 MHz spectrum in Northern Ireland at present?	Considering the n101 and n1 requirements will remain same, Nokia response is neutral.
<b>Question 4:</b> Are you aware of any low power use cases suitable for the 1915–1920 MHz spectrum?	
<b>Question 5:</b> Do you have any comments on our proposed authorisation approach for FRMCS?	No
<b>Question 6:</b> Do you have any views on our proposed non-technical conditions for the new FRMCS licence?	No
<b>Question 7:</b> Do you have any views on our proposed licensing process for the FRMCS licence?	No
<b>Question 8:</b> Do Are you aware of any uses that can coexist with FRMCS	Globally we are observing exclusive FRMCS usage of the band between 1900-1910 Mhz

Question	Your response
without creating a risk of harmful interference? If so, please provide evidence.	
<b>Question 9:</b> Do you agree with our proposed approach for authorising ESN gateways in 1910–1915 MHz?	
<b>Question 10:</b> Do you have any views on our proposed non-technical licence terms for the ESN gateways licence?	
<b>Question 11:</b> Do you have any views on our proposed licensing process for the ESN gateway licence?	
<b>Question 12:</b> Are you aware of any uses that can coexist with ESN Gateways without causing risk of harmful interference? If so, please provide evidence.	
<b>Question 13:</b> Do you have any comments on our assessment of the coexistence of FRMCS in 1900–1910 MHz with existing DECT and FDD uplinks?	Regarding DECT, agreed with ECC Report sections 3.2 and 6, as mentioned in the consultation’s points 6.15 and 6.16.
<b>Question 14:</b> Do you have any comments on our assessment of the coexistence of ESN Gateways in 1910–1915 MHz with existing DECT and FDD uplinks?	
<b>Question 15:</b> Do you have any comments on our assessment of the coexistence of ESN Gateways in 1910–1915 MHz with FRMCS in 1900–1910 MHz?	Nokia propose to use same frame structure in both ESN Gateways and FRMCS frequency ranges and phase synchronized both networks. Coexistence should not put more stringent conditions on 1900-1910 MHz than outlined in CEPT/EECDec (20)02
<b>Question 16:</b> Do you have any comments on the feasibility of the additional mitigation measures we have	

Question	Your response
identified, or additional suggestions for measures that could further reduce the likelihood and/or impact of interference?	
<b>Question 17:</b> Do you have any comments on our proposed technical licence conditions for FRMCS and ESN gateways?	For FRMCS, alignment with the 3GPP and CEPT/EECDec (20)02 requirements is crucial.
<b>Question 18:</b> Do you agree with our provisional conclusion that there is likely to be excess demand for the 1900–1915 MHz band, in future, if cost-based fees were applied; and, therefore, that an AIP fee is appropriate? Please provide any evidence to support your position.	
<b>Question 19:</b> Do you agree with our approach to fees, including fee level and adjustments? Please provide any evidence to support your position.	