

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you agree with our proposed changes to the ACI/blocking procedures?	no response (not an engineer)
Question 2: Do you have any comments on the adoption of the new ETSI mask characteristic and on the potential use of the non-critical spectrum mask?	no response
Question 3: Do you agree with our proposed changes on DAB+ audio encoding?	<p>Yes. I think lifting these restrictions is long overdue</p> <p>I'm in favour of anything that gives multiplex operators and stations more flexibility and increases the use of a more modern codec.</p> <p>I'd like DAB+ transmissions to use higher bitrates than at present (e.g. on SDL) but I don't consider that Ofcom's remit.</p> <p>I think multiplex operators should be free to enforce their own quality thresholds for speech and music.</p> <p>I also think:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More effort should be made to establish sales/usage figures for DAB+ compatible radios - not gathering this data is likely to lead to inaction. - Receiver manufacturers must use consistent user interface labelling for retuning/scanning stations, to simplify the consumer messaging needed when stations are added or moved between multiplexes. - Ofcom should take steps to discourage large retailers from selling radios that are not DAB+ ready (or at least make them aware of the problem). - The industry should remind listeners to recycle their old radio in messaging about purchasing a DAB+ capable receiver, and this should be specific and practical - e.g. websites or lists or retailers, rather than merely referring them to their local council.
Question 4: Do you agree with our other proposed revisions to the Digital Radio Technical Code outlined in Section 6 of	no response

this document? Do you have any views on alternative models for dealing with the administration of Sid and TII codes?

Question 5: Do you agree with our other proposed revisions to the Technical Policy Guidance for DAB Multiplex Licensees document outlined in Section 7 of this document?

no response