

Dear OfCom

Once again I wish to object very strongly to this proposal, and to the way in which OfCom conducts its consultations.

No account is taken at all of public health or environmental concerns. The precautionary principle is never followed and there continues to be no environmental assessment or due diligence. The only considerations considered are those of commercial competition.

There is now significant evidence of harm from this technology, which must be taken seriously. As you know I have tried to raise these fundamental issues repeatedly over several years, to no effect. I will continue to raise them until OfCom exercises its statutory duties under the 2003 Act to further the interests of citizens and consumers with respect to communications matters. Those interests include our health and that of the biodiversity we all depend on.

We cannot 'save' the planet by microwaving it. And what we do to the planet, we do to ourselves.

Question 1: Do you agree with our proposal to make this spectrum available for fixed links? Are there other potential users of these frequencies which we have not identified?

I do not agree.

Question 2: Do you agree with our proposal to make this spectrum available on an Ofcom-managed basis?

I do not agree. OfCom is clearly unable or unwilling to manage this in the public interest

Question 3: Do you agree that 28 and 32 GHz spectrum is broadly substitutable from a fixed links perspective? If not, please explain why this is the case and provide evidence to support your views. In particular we would be interested to understand any differences between the 28 and 32 GHz bands which could make them more or less suitable for fixed links migrating from the 26 or 40 GHz bands. Using these bands is not remotely comparable to the use of fixed links. Fixed links are robust and far more secure; and they do not cause radiation damage to people, animals, birds and (I think especially significant) pollinating insects.

Question 4: Do you agree with our provisional proposal to make 28 MHz channels and one or more 56 MHz channels available for new fixed link assignments? If not, please explain the reasons for your view and set out any preferred alternative approach.

Fixed links should be used in every case for the reasons given.

Question 5: Do you have any additional concerns or comments regarding the proposals in this consultation document?

Yes, see above.