

## Your response

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 1: Do you agree with the proposed scope of the review of BBC regulation as set out in this document? If not, please explain the areas where you think changes should be made.</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Question 2: Do you agree with the proposed approach to reviewing the BBC Operating Licence? If not, please explain why.</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Question 3: Do you have any views on how to measure the BBC's performance?</b></p>	<p>Yes....Performance based against current Ofcom's Broadcasting Code.</p>
<p><b>Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed scope of the review in relation to content standards? If not, please explain why.</b></p>	<p>No.....Forum of Review, heavily weighted Media/Ex media Personnel, example "Big Screen/Small Screen" Debate, and, Ofcom Content Team.</p>
<p><b>Question 5: Do you agree with the issues we have identified with the processes for assessing the competitive impact of changes to the BBC's UK Public Services? If you consider there should be changes to these processes, please set out what these are and, if possible, provide any relevant evidence.</b></p>	<p><b><u>QUESTION 5: Do you agree with the issues we have identified with the processes for assessing the competitive impact of changes to the BBC's UK Public Services? If you consider there should be changes to these processes, please set out what these are and, if possible, provide any relevant evidence.</u></b></p> <p>No.....This is an addendum to my previous comments, with examples, all justifying that changes are needed;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The BBC has invaded the "Privacy of an individual", resulting in a court case, which the BBC was found guilty, with costs.</li> <li>2. The BBC has been found guilty of unfair treatment of staff, within the PBS Organisation. Again this resulted in costs to the BBC.</li> <li>3. The BBC has been found guilty of dishonesty, which could/or has resulted in further costs.</li> </ol>

	<p>All of the above incidents, have broken both the BBC Broadcasting Code and Ofcom's Broadcasting Code...Honesty, Trust, Impartiality, Accuracy, Harm to Physical/Mental Health.</p> <p>There is enough factual evidence, to enable Ofcom to review their regulations, and act accordingly.</p> <p>Finally, I would like Ofcom to investigate, "Subliminal Broadcasting" in thier review of the BBC's PBS, as defined in Ofom's Broadcasting Code.</p>
<p><b>Question 6: Do you have any concerns about the regulatory framework for the BBC's commercial activities that are not being considered in the review of BBC Studios?</b></p>	<p><b><u>QUESTION 6: Do you have any concerns about the regulatory framework for the BBC's commercial activities that are not being considered in the review of BBC Studios?</u></b> Yes... It may be beneficial for Audiences(the Public) to have two completely seperate Service Organisations. BBC Studios(Fictional Broadcasting) and BBC PBS(Factual Broadcasting). By doing this, the Public will feel that with a new Management Structure, this Service, would not be influenced by other activities. This should also apply to other Broadcasters who have a PBS as part of thier service offerings.</p>

Please complete this form in full and return to [bbcregulationreview@ofcom.org.uk](mailto:bbcregulationreview@ofcom.org.uk)