

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 3.1: Do you agree with our analysis of the ways in which number spoofing is used, and the extent and types of harm associated with its use? If you have any further evidence which demonstrates the extent and types of harm involved, please provide this.</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –N</i></p> <p>Agreed</p>
<p>Question 4.1: Do you agree with our assessment that while Ofcom rules and industry measures are likely to help to reduce scam calls, more needs to be done to tackle number spoofing? Provide reasons for your answer and include any suggested measures that could have a material impact on reducing the incidence of scam calls involving number spoofing.</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –N</i></p> <p>Agreed</p>
<p>Question 5.1: Is the approach to CLI authentication we have outlined feasible and workable?</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –N</i></p> <p><i>Agreed – though not clear why it has not been feasible for network operators thus far for all domestic originator calls and indeed if network operators were liable to compensate consumers and banks for loss it is certain it would have been done already</i></p>
<p>Question 5.2: To what extent could adopting this approach to CLI authentication have a material impact on reducing scams and other unwanted calls? If you consider an alternative approach would be better, please outline this and your reasons why.</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –N</i></p> <p><i>Significant reduction of misuse of published numbers from banks and government</i></p>
<p>Question 5.3: Are there additional measures that could be adopted to further strengthen the suggested approach and/or minimise the identified exemptions?</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –N</i></p> <p><i>if network operators were liable to compensate consumers and banks for loss it would ensure they have skin in the game</i></p>

<p>Question 6.1: Do you agree with the approach outlined for the monitoring and enforcement of the rules with regard to CLI authentication? Are there any alternative approaches that we should consider?</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –N</i></p> <p><i>Network operators should only allow the real originating call number to be displayed at end points. Also all non domestic originated calls should be flagged as such on end points</i></p> <p><i>Engorcement of proper Board Gateway Protocol between network operators with removal of licences for non compliance</i></p>
<p>Question 6.2: Do you agree that CLI authentication could make call tracing easier and yield benefits in terms of detecting scammers and nuisance callers?</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? –N</i></p> <p><i>Agreed</i></p>
<p>Question 7.1: What are your views on the timescales for the potential implementation of CLI authentication, including the interdependencies with legacy network retirement?</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? – N</i></p> <p><i>Not sure – legacy networks are interconnected with internet transport and network operators can enforce at the transport level so not clear that there is a real issue with legacy networks other than the commercial interests of network operators servicing call ventre operators</i></p>
<p>Question 7.2: Do you agree with our assessment of the administrative steps required to implement CLI authentication and how these should be achieved?</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? – N</i></p> <p><i>Agreed though view than as slow and weak</i></p>
<p>Question 7.3: Should a common numbering database be implemented to support the CLI authentication approach? Please provide any comments on the steps needed to implement a common numbering database, including on</p>	<p><i>Is this response confidential? – N</i></p> <p><i>Agreed</i></p>

the feasibility of the industry leading on (a) the specification; and (b) the implementation?	
Question 8.1: Do you agree with the proposed framework for impact assessment and the potential categories of costs and benefits? Please identify any other factors that we should take into account in our assessment.	<i>Is this response confidential? – N</i> <i>Principal measures should be the elimination of the problem so level of persistence is the gold standard</i>

Please complete this form in full and return to: CLlauthentication@ofcom.org.uk