

## Your response

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 1:</b> Do you agree with our analysis of the case for regulatory intervention and our proposal to license satellite gateways to access 28 GHz spectrum in portions of the band not currently available for satellite gateways? If not, please provide reasons/evidence for your response.</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y</p> <p>[Redacted response text]</p>
<p><b>Question 2:</b> If we decide to proceed with this proposal to license satellite gateways to access 28 GHz spectrum in portions of the band not currently available for satellite gateways, do you agree with our proposal not to adjust Spectrum Access licence fees to reflect locations where we authorise future satellite gateways? If not, please provide reasons/evidence for your response.</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y</p> <p>[Redacted response text]</p>
<p><b>Question 3:</b> Do you have any further views / comments on our proposal to license satellite gateways to access 28 GHz spectrum in portions of the band</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / N</p>



Question	Your response
	<p>[Redacted]</p>
<p><b>Question 6:</b> Do you agree with our initial view that alternative use of the returned spectrum would be an allocation decision for either point-to-point fixed links or land-based satellite terminal use because it is unlikely both services can share and auctioning the spectrum is unlikely to secure optimal use? If not, please provide evidence to support your response.</p>	<p>[Redacted]</p>

Question	Your response
	<p>[Redacted]</p>
<p><b>Question 7:</b> Do you agree with our initial view to make 112 MHz at 28.8365 – 28.9485 GHz available for land-based satellite terminal use, 2 x 112 MHz for point-to-point fixed links at 27.9405 - 28.0525 GHz and 28.9485 - 29.0605 GHz and defer allocating the remaining 112 MHz of spectrum? If not, what alternative suggestions do you have?</p>	<p>[Redacted]</p>

<b>Question</b>	<b>Your response</b>
	<p>[Redacted response]</p>
<p><b>Question 8:</b> Do you agree with our assessment of how the returned spectrum may be authorised for fixed links and GSO and NGSO land-based satellite terminals? If not, please provide evidence to support your response.</p>	<p>[Redacted response]</p>
<p><b>Question 9:</b> Do you have a view on demand for point-to-point fixed links in Northern Ireland and London in the frequency range 28.1925 – 28.3045 GHz paired with 29.2005 – 29.3125 GHz and our proposed approach that, if we were to decide to make this spectrum available for fixed links, would be to authorise this as Ofcom managed spectrum licensed on a first come first served basis?</p>	<p>[Redacted response]</p>

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 10:</b> Do you have further views / comments that you wish to make in respect of this consultation?</p>	<p>Rivada Space Networks (Rivada) congratulates Ofcom for opening the “Increasing use of the 27.5 – 30 GHz band” consultation process and putting the matter of enabling land-based satellite user terminals to utilize more of the Ka-band up to the public opinion. We believe that such an approach will guarantee that Ofcom receives a comprehensive overview of the market demand for utilizing the 28GHz band to meet the needs of businesses and consumers across the UK.</p>

Please complete this form in full and return to [28ghz@ofcom.org.uk](mailto:28ghz@ofcom.org.uk).