

Your response

Question	Your response
<p>Question 1: Do you agree with the planning principles and methodologies that we will use in our work to refine the coverage area plan for small-scale DAB?</p>	<p>Yes we agree.</p>
<p>Question 2: Do you agree with our proposed approach to the required technical licence conditions for small-scale radio multiplex services, and the proposed amendments to the Digital Radio Technical Code?</p>	<p>Yes we agree and have no issues with having to use a DAB+ only multiplex as this is the most efficient method for both use of bandwidth and sound quality.</p>
<p>Question 3: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposed approach to setting the level of reserved capacity for C-DSP services on small-scale radio multiplex services?</p>	<p>We agree, but feel 3 years is quite a way into the licence to be able to amend C-DSP requirements / capacity, especially in areas where there is no such existing analogue community licences.</p>
<p>Question 4: Do you agree with the factors we are proposing to take into account of in deciding the order and timescale in which Ofcom will advertise small-scale radio multiplex licences?</p>	<p>Yes we agree.</p>
<p>Question 5: Do you agree with our proposed approach for assessing the technical plans submitted in small-scale radio multiplex licence applications?</p>	<p>Yes we agree.</p>
<p>Question 6: Do you agree with our proposed approach for assessing the ability of applicants to establish their proposed small-scale radio multiplex service?</p>	<p>Yes we agree.</p>
<p>Question 7: Should Ofcom require that the studio of a C-DSP licensee be located within the coverage area of the small-scale radio multiplex service it plans to broadcast on? Please explain the reasons for your view.</p>	<p>We feel that the point of Small Scale DAB and that of a C-DSP licensee is for it to be local and able to reflect the area which it serves. A station that doesn't broadcast from within the coverage area should be classed as DSP and therefore should not take up reserved bandwidth specifically allocated for C-DSP services.</p>
<p>Question 8: We propose that holders of corresponding analogue community radio and DSP licences apportion their income equally</p>	<p>Yes we agree.</p>

across their licences, unless there are compelling reasons why a different apportionment is reasonable. Do you agree with our suggested approach?

Question 9: Do you agree with our proposal that a prospective C-DSP service provider will be able to apply for a C-DSP licence once we have invited applications for the small-scale radio multiplex licence upon which their proposed C-DSP service is intended to be provided?

Yes we agree.