Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? ability to raise prices in fixed term contracts without the automatic right to terminate without penalty on the part of consumers?:

yes

Question 2: Should consumers share the risk of Communications Providers? costs increasing or should Communications Providers bear that risk because they are better placed to assess the risks and take steps to mitigate them?:

no the coustomers should not be punsihed for lack of foresight by the comunication providers

Question 3: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? inconsistent application of the ?material detriment? test in GC9.6 and the uncertainties associated with the UTCCRs?:

yes

Question 4: Should Communications Providers be allowed (in the first instance) to unilaterally determine what constitutes material detriment or should Ofcom provide guidance?:

there should be an opportunity for an external party to give advice and assess the information yes.

Question 5: What are your views on whether guidance would provide an adequate remedy for the consumer harm identified? Do you have a view as to how guidance could remedy the harm?:

I do not know if the guidance would solve the issues but it would at least give another perspective on the issues in hand. The guidance can be taken on board and may help the organisation to consider points they may not have thought of themselves.

Question 6: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from the lack of transparency of price variation terms?:

yes, terms and conditions of contracts that involve money are always too long and not clear enough. The terms may be available to view at any tine but in this modern worls most consumers do not have the time to read such documents. There should be a summary of importnat points that can affect the agreement which should be highlighted before anything is agreed.

Question 7: Do you agree that transparency alone would not provide adequate protection for consumers against the harm caused by price rises in fixed term contracts?:

yes I agree with that. Being transparent is fine but giving the specific information that can affect prices and other importnat factors should be highlighted before the contract is agreed.

Question 8: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should protect consumers in respect of any increase in the price for services provided under a contract applicable at the time that contract is entered into by the consumer? :

yes

Question 9: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should apply to price increases in relation to all services or do you think that there are particular services which should be treated differently, for example, increases to the service charge for calls to non-geographical numbers?:

no the intervention should apply to all services provided to consumers, it's not only price increases that can affect an agreement.

Question 10: Do you agree that the harm identified from price rises in fixed term contracts applies to small business customers (as well as residential customers) but not larger businesses?:

no, it affects everyone, just because a large company has more money it should not be penalised for that.

Question 11: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention that we may take to protect customers from price rises in fixed term contracts should apply to residential and small business customers alike?:

yes

Question 12: Do you agree that our definition of small business customers in the context of this consultation and any subsequent regulatory intervention should be consistent with the definition in section 52(6) of the Communications Act and in other parts of the General Conditions?:

yes

Question 13: Do you agree that price rises due to the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29 are outside a Communications Provider?s control or ability to manage and therefore they should not be required to let consumers withdraw from the contract without penalty where price rises are as a result of one of these factors?:

Question 14: Except for the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29, are there any other reasons for price increases that you would consider to be fully outside the control of Communications Providers or their ability to manage and therefore should not trigger the obligation on providers to allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty?:

no

Question 15: Do you agree that Communications Providers are best placed to decide how they can communicate contract variations effectively with its consumers?:

no

Question 16: Do you agree with Ofcom?s approach to liaise with providers informally at this stage, where appropriate, with suggestions for better practice where we identify that notifications could be improved?:

yes

Question 17: What are your views on Ofcom?s additional suggestions for best practice in relation to the notification of contractual variations as set out above? Do you have any further suggestions for best practice in relation to contract variation notifications to consumers?:

I agree that Ofcom should have the ability to influence how communication providers communicate with their consumers and how they decide to justify things such as price increases. I believe that once a contract has been agreed it should stay at that price as consumers often budget for the entire contract length to see what their entire outlay will be.

Question 18: What are your views on the length of time that consumers should be given to cancel a contract without penalty in order to avoid a price rise? For consistency, should there be a set timescale to apply to all Communications Providers?:

3 months

Question 19: What are your views on whether there should be guidance which sets out the length of time that Communications Providers should allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty to avoid a price rise?:

there should be a legal requirement to allow consumers to leave their contract without penalty if they do not want the price increase.

Question 20: Do you agree that this option to make no changes to the current regulatory framework is not a suitable option in light of the consumer harm identified in section 4 above?:

there is no information on option 1?

Question 21: Do you agree with Ofcom?s analysis of option 2? If not, please explain your reasons.:

there is no information on option 2?

Question 22: Do you agree with Ofcom?s analysis of option 3? If not, please explain your reasons.:

there is no information on option 3?

Question 23: What are your views on option 4 to modify the General Condition to require Communications Providers to notify consumers of their ability to withdraw from the contract without penalty for any price increases?:

there is no information on option 4?

Question 24: Do you agree with Ofcom?s assessment that option 4 is the most suitable option to address the consumer harm from price rises in fixed term contracts?:

there is no information on option 4?

Question 25: Do you agree that Ofcom?s proposed modifications of GC9.6 would give the intended effect to option 4?:

there is no information on option 4?

Question 26: What are your views on the material detriment test in GC9.6 still applying to any non-price variations in the contract?:

I do not know what GC9.6 is im afraid so cannot comment

Question 27: For our preferred option 4, do you agree that a three month implementation period for Communications Providers would be appropriate to comply with any new arrangements?:

yes

Question 28: What are your views on any new regulatory requirement only applying to new contracts?:

I disagree - it should apply to all contracts new or old.