## **Additional comments:**

The questions are extremely convoluted. I wonder how average people can make sense of these questions! I hope it is not deliberate to deter a wider audience!

Question 1: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? ability to raise prices in fixed term contracts without the automatic right to terminate without penalty on the part of consumers?:

Yes, I do. This is an absolute outrage for these organisations to raise prices despite us being on a fixed contract. The other funny thing is, they have never reduced the price when the RPI has gone down!

Question 2: Should consumers share the risk of Communications Providers? costs increasing or should Communications Providers bear that risk because they are better placed to assess the risks and take steps to mitigate them?:

If the companies feel there costs are increasing, which they are not able to predict, then they should not tie us to a fixed contract. They have tied us into providing free cash flow during our contract and if they feel they have to raise price, then they should be penalised for breaking the initial contract.

Incidentally, this is a recent phenomenon to fleece your customers, as in the past this was not done. This also shows that Ofcom is ineffective.

Question 3: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? inconsistent application of the ?material detriment? test in GC9.6 and the uncertainties associated with the UTCCRs?:

I m not sure what this means.

Question 4: Should Communications Providers be allowed (in the first instance) to unilaterally determine what constitutes material detriment or should Ofcom provide guidance?:

Absolutely not. There are two parties to the contract.

Question 5: What are your views on whether guidance would provide an adequate remedy for the consumer harm identified? Do you have a view as to how guidance could remedy the harm?:

I think if the company feels there costs are increasing then I should be free to get out of contract as they have breached contract.

Question 6: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from the lack of transparency of price variation terms?:

Yes, I do.

Question 7: Do you agree that transparency alone would not provide adequate protection for consumers against the harm caused by price rises in fixed term contracts?:

Yes

Question 8: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should protect consumers in respect of any increase in the price for services provided under a contract applicable at the time that contract is entered into by the consumer?

Yes

Question 9: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should apply to price increases in relation to all services or do you think that there are particular services which should be treated differently, for example, increases to the service charge for calls to non-geographical numbers?:

Yes, it should apply to all services.

Question 10: Do you agree that the harm identified from price rises in fixed term contracts applies to small business customers (as well as residential customers) but not larger businesses?:

Yes

Question 11: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention that we may take to protect customers from price rises in fixed term contracts should apply to residential and small business customers alike?:

Yes

Question 12: Do you agree that our definition of small business customers in the context of this consultation and any subsequent regulatory intervention should be consistent with the definition in section 52(6) of the Communications Act and in other parts of the General Conditions?:

Yes

Question 13: Do you agree that price rises due to the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29 are outside a Communications Provider?s control or ability to manage and therefore they should not be required to let consumers withdraw from the contract without penalty where price rises are as a result of one of these factors?:

No

Question 14: Except for the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29, are there any other reasons for price increases that you would consider to be fully outside the control of Communications Providers or their ability to manage and therefore should not trigger the obligation on providers to allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty?:

Nothing. A contract is a contract. If I am tied to pay for a fixed duration then if I want to leave, because I cannot pay the monthly payments, would I be allowed itch out any penalties? The answer is no. These mega companies cannot predict there costs, then they should close shop. The utilities and various other companies can honour there contract as do most customers. How do they manage?

Question 15: Do you agree that Communications Providers are best placed to decide how they can communicate contract variations effectively with its consumers?:

Absolutely not. They can't be gamekeeper and poacher.

Question 16: Do you agree with Ofcom?s approach to liaise with providers informally at this stage, where appropriate, with suggestions for better practice where we identify that notifications could be improved?:

No. I would like intervention against Orange, who are obviously emboldened by your ineffectiveness about raising prices for the second time in 18 months. Next, it would be every 3 months!

Question 17: What are your views on Ofcom?s additional suggestions for best practice in relation to the notification of contractual variations as set out above? Do you have any further suggestions for best practice in relation to contract variation notifications to consumers?:

Please discuss with which?

Question 18: What are your views on the length of time that consumers should be given to cancel a contract without penalty in order to avoid a price rise? For consistency, should there be a set timescale to apply to all Communications Providers?:

Which?can give you a balanced view.

Question 19: What are your views on whether there should be guidance which sets out the length of time that Communications Providers should allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty to avoid a price rise?:

It seems reasonable.

Question 20: Do you agree that this option to make no changes to the current regulatory framework is not a suitable option in light of the consumer harm identified in section 4 above?:

Yes

Question 21: Do you agree with Ofcom?s analysis of option 2? If not, please explain your reasons.:

No

Question 22: Do you agree with Ofcom?s analysis of option 3? If not, please explain your reasons.:

No

Question 23: What are your views on option 4 to modify the General Condition to require Communications Providers to notify consumers of their ability to withdraw from the contract without penalty for any price increases?:

This is the right option, that is fair to both parties.

Question 24: Do you agree with Ofcom?s assessment that option 4 is the most suitable option to address the consumer harm from price rises in fixed term contracts?:

Dont know

Question 25: Do you agree that Ofcom?s proposed modifications of GC9.6 would give the intended effect to option 4?:

Question 26: What are your views on the material detriment test in GC9.6 still applying to any non-price variations in the contract?:

Question 27: For our preferred option 4, do you agree that a three month implementation period for Communications Providers would be appropriate to comply with any new arrangements?:

No it should be immediate. Orange are applying these price rise whilst Ofcom are doing Consultation! It should be retrospectively applied.

Question 28: What are your views on any new regulatory requirement only applying to new contracts?:

No, it should be for everyone including those currently on contract.