

Digital Economy Act was undemocratic  
Where is innocent until proven guilty?  
The evidence available is opposite to the DEC presumptions  
Collateral damage to citizens rights and community will be disastrous

I help with an old persons community cafe - it offers free wifi. This will stop, gee, thanks (not)!  
business, educational, libraries: wifi. Goodbye common sense. People will put energy into  
lawbreaking. Great, yes?  
Or snooping? but this is unacceptable by the recent European Court of Justice decision regarding  
SABAM (see <http://www.bbc.com/news/technology-17060112>)

Personal wifi use, good neighbours and friends share stuff. (see  
<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/7052223.stm>)  
Does that mean you must use WPA encryption in order to avoid being found guilty? Is that really a  
reasonable thing to demand? WPA can be broken if passwords are less than 20 characters long.  
Mine is more than this, IS YOURS??  
Suppose somebody mistakes my (or yours) wifi for a problem but I (you are) am innocent. How will  
you prove (at your own cost) that you ARE innocent?

Even when wifi is encrypted, it can be broken into today, and not least tomorrow.

It is naïve to think that IP addresses are meaningful. The porosity of wifi is just one of many reasons  
why this is not true, and why it is therefore inherently unjust to inflict punishments using this  
assumption.

Digital Economy Bill was very poor quality legislation indeed. God help us.

The only rational option is to repeal it and do it properly.