

The bill in its current form must not be allowed to pass.

The removal of the requirement for the ISP and company which accuse you to tell you how the information they acquired is legal under the Data Protection Act means that you are effectively removing the Unclean Hands doctrine ( the plaintiff is not entitled to obtain an equitable remedy on account of the fact that the plaintiff is acting unethically or has acted in bad faith with respect to the subject of the complaint). This will pave the way for illegal and intrusive monitoring techniques being ignored if they are used to detect so-called criminals.

Furthermore, the £20 fee required simply to contest your innocence is racketeering, plain and simple. You are allowing the corporations who funded this bill (don't you dare deny it) to threaten people into admitting a crime they did not commit simply because they cannot afford to contest it.

Ofcom used to stand for consumer protection, clearly it now stands for corporate protection.