I cannot see how an organisatin providing Wifi (but not itself hosting or providing content) should be held responsible for the content downloaded by its users. This would be like holding the Royal Mail responsible for ensuring letters contain no copies of copyright material.

Only those organisations providing access to content (and so in a position to act on complaints of infringement) should have this responsibilty.

The recent rise in wifi access has been of major benefit to me personally, allowingme to study and work whereever I am. and I am sure this is true fo rmany people.

If uncertainties in the proposal cause organisations to withdraw this facility, it will be a great loss, and without any compensating reduction in copyright and IPR issues generally, since as I remark above, providers of Wifi are in no position to affect that anyway.