

I have issues with the DEA in terms of my belief that it is designed to favour big media rather than the people, but in regards to wifi in public places, I believe it would be a backwards step if the lack of safeguards makes public wifi providers reduce or remove such a service. Access to the internet is becoming a public good, I believe, and wifi should therefore be a service that providers can deliver without fear that they will be unjustly responsible for the actions of its users. Many places provide free tap water, a public good, but they are not responsible if someone who has asked for some throws it into another's face.