

After reading the report I can see that the evidential burden relies solely on the subscriber to prove him or herself innocent rather than the natural, democratic form of justice, innocent until proven guilty.

In addition to that for a subscriber to have the ability to be able to respond to an accusation by a copyright holder they have to pay £20. Why should anyone have to pay to access justice? We have seen the actions of the copyright holders in the past (see ACS:Law) where they send out copyright infringement notices to any IP address they can dream up. An appeal should therefore be the financial burden of the copyright holder which will detract from them making false accusations.

The entire process of gathering IP addresses should be transparent and under scrutiny. An independent audit of each system should be required and the details published on-line for the public to see. If their methods are valid then they will have nothing to hide.

And also what steps are the copyright lobby using to make the content they have available to the consumers for a reasonable price? This alone would greatly reduce 'piracy' without the need for extra regulation and invasion of privacy.