## **Additional comments:**

The £20 DEPOSIT when accused is totally wrong and should not be allowed . The accused should be presented with dates and times of "transgressions". No trial by jury? Ofcom Judge & jury? Totally wrong.

Question 3.1: Do you have any comments on the principles set out above, do you consider there are other economic principles to which we should have regard in setting fees:

Question 4.1: Do you have any comments on the proposed process for establishing CIR estimates and costs, do you have evidence which would suggest that a different process should be adopted?:

Question 4.2: Do you have any comments on the proposed process or timetable for establishing the appeals body:

Question 4.3: Do you agree that Qualifying ISPs should have 9 months from the point at which estimates are finalised to prepare for the operation of the DEA scheme?:

Question 4.4: In light of the evidence above, do you agree that the first notification period should start on March 1st 2014 and end on March 31 2015, do you have evidence which would suggest that a different date is feasible and preferable?:

Question 4.5: Do you agree with the proposed industry payment schedules for fees in respect of Initial and Qualifying Costs:

no

Question 5.1: Do you have any comments on the activities which we anticipate carrying out under the DEA amendments which will give rise to Qualifying Costs in the first notification period?:

Question 5.2: Do you agree with our proposed approach to the costs incurred by the appeals body during a notification period?:

Question 6.1: Do you agree that all initially Qualifying ISPs will face the same model of efficient costs in carrying out the Initial Obligations and hence should be treated as having the same Relevant Costs for the purpose of setting a Notification Fee? If not, please provide your reasons for that view.:

Question 6.2: Do you agree that we should apply the full automated cost model to all Qualifying ISPs for the full range of monthly activity from 2,500 to 200,000 CIRs per month? Do you have evidence that an alternative

approach to costs should be adopted for any levels of CIR activity, and any evidence about what costs should be for those levels?:

Question 6.3: Do you agree that the Relevant Costs for the first notification period should include 100% of ISP relevant capital expenditure?:

Question 6.4: Do you agree with our assessment of the fixed costs which will be reasonably and efficiently incurred by a Qualifying ISP in carrying out the Initial Obligations? Do you have evidence to suggest amounts attributed to these costs may be incorrect?:

Question 6.5: Do you agree the proposal that we set two notification fees, one for O2 and Everything Everywhere and the other for the larger Qualifying ISPs?:

Question 7.1: Do you agree with the proposals for the ISP cost items to be counted as part of the Relevant Costs, do you have evidence to support alternative approaches?:

Question 7.2: Do you agree our proposals in relation to the activities which give rise to variable Relevant Costs and the proposed values of those relevant cost items? Please provide reasons and evidence to support any different assessment of the variable cost element of Relevant Costs and/or alternative values?:

Question 7.3: Do you with agree the proposed values for the operational ratios? Can you provide evidence to support alternative values?: