| Title: | |
|---------------|--|
| Mr | |
| Forename: | |
| Alan | |
| Surname: | |
| Smithee | |
| Representing: | |
| Self | |
| | |

Additional comments:

Ofcom seems to be modelling its consultations on the works of J.K. Rowling. They are even more numerous than her novels, and often inhabit a similar imaginary world to Hogwarts. They are just as long with the reports and studies - but they are nothing like as interesting to read, assuming that anyone does actually read them from end to end. Often they have so many contradictions that nobody in Ofcom can have read them either.

This is a classic example.

"Credo quia absurdum est" said Harry.

Question 1: Do you have any comments on our modelling approach and assessment of numbers of households affected?:

Question 2: Do you agree with our high level conclusions on mitigation options?:

Question 3: Do you have any comments, views or evidence that you would wish to be considered in our further work looking at the appropriate level of consumer support?:

Question 4: Do you have any comments or views on how we have assessed the approaches and our preference for the hybrid approach?:

Question 5: Do you agree with the options, the assessment approach and our initial conclusions? What are your views on cost risks and how to deal with them?: