

Dr J Fasler

Additional comments:

My comments relate to provision of basic voice and text coverage in areas where this is not at present available.

I live in Suffolk which is not a topographically challenging area and is growing steadily.

Question 6.1: Do you have any comments on the proposal to include in one of the 800 MHz licences an obligation to serve by the end of 2017 an area in which 95% of the UK population lives, while providing a sustained downlink speed of 2Mbps with a 90% probability of indoor reception? Do you think there is another way of specifying a coverage obligation that would be preferable?:

Coverage in Suffolk is very patchy for basic voice and text even on main roads and in populated areas.

Question 6.3: Do you have any comments or evidence on whether an additional obligation should be imposed to require coverage on specific roads?:

All A and B roads should be covered

Question 6.4: Do you have any comments on our proposal not to use the combined award to address existing not-spots?:

This would be a waste of an opportunity to provide basic mobile coverage to more consumers

There should be an obligation to provide at least basic voice and text services in not-spots even if 2/3/4G cannot be provided.

This is important for emergencies as with recent flooding, snow etc.