European Commission

# COMMISSION EUROPÉENNE

## TUROPEAN COMMISSION

# EUROPÄISCHE KOMMISSION

DE / FROM / VON POLIART Francine (SG)

À / TO / AN #442079813504

POUR/ATTN/Z. HD. : 00442079813504

DATE / DATE / DATUM :01/06/2010 16:37:57

RÉF/REF/ZEICHEN: 469494

Nombres de pages (page de garde incluse)

Number of pages (including this cover sheet)

Seitenzahl (einschließlich Deckblatt)

OBJET/SUBJECT/BETREFF

Mr Ed Richards - UK/2010/1064 - UK/2010/1065

Pour répondre à ce fax, veuillez utiliser uniquement le numéro de votre correspondant. En cas de problème technique, vous pouvez appeler le numéro général (tél. +32 (0)2 295 70 09).

To reply to this fax, please only use the number of your correspondent. Only use the general confact number (tel. +32 (0)2 295 70 09) when a technical problem arises.

Antworten auf dieses Fax bitte ausschließlichan die Nummer des Absenders. Bei technischen Problemen wenden Sie sich bitte an die Zentrale (Tel. +32 (0)2 295 70 09).

Francine POLIART
S6/A/3
BERL 8/366
TF: 51391
Francine pollart@ec.europa.eu



#### **EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

Ofcom

1 JUN 2010

Onici Executive's Office

Brussels, 1.6.2010 C(2010) 3615

SG-Greffe (2010) D/7568

Office of Communications (Ofcom)

Riverside House 2a Southwark Bridge Road SE1 9HA London United Kingdom

For the attention of:
Mr Ed Richards
Chief Executive Officer

Fax: +44-2079813504

Dear Mr Richards,

Subject: access market Commission decision concerning case UK/2010/1064: Wholesale local

Commission decision concerning broadband access market case UK/2010/1065: Wholesale

Comments pursuant to Article 7(3) of Directive 2002/21/EC

#### [. PROCEDURE

local access (WLA) services<sup>2</sup> the other the third review of the market for wholesale broadband access<sup>3</sup> (WBA) in the UK. Communications (Ofcom), one concerning the second review of the market for wholesale On 23 March 2010, the Commission registered two notifications from the Office of

regulatory framework for electronic communications networks and services (Framework Directive), OJL 108, 24.4.2002, p. 33. Directive 2002/21/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 March 2002 on a common

W to ex ante regulation in accordance with Directive 2002/21/EC of the European Parliament and of the Recommendation'), OJL 344, 28.12.2007, p. 65. Council on a common regulatory framework for electronic communications networks and services ('the This market corresponds to market 4 in Commission Recommendation 2007/879/EC of 17 December 2007 on relevant product and services markets within the electronic communications sector susceptible

This market corresponds to market 5 in the Recommendation

凸 4/14

+352430135049

On 20 April 2010, a request for information5 was sent to Ofcom. A response was received The national consultations<sup>4</sup> run in parallel with the EU consultations under Article 7 of the Framework Directive. The deadline for the FU consultations is 1 June 2010.

and the Commission may make comments on notified draft measures to the NRA concerned Pursuant to Article 7(3) of the Framework Directive, national regulatory authorities (NRAs)

on 23 April 2010

#### jamen) jamen) DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAFT MEASURES

#### innerg innerg innerg innerg Background

### The wholesale local access market

concerning the inclusion of both copper loop-based and cable-based wholesale local access BT should be designated as the operator having significant market power (SMP) in the UK excluding the Hull area, where Kingston Communications (KCOM) was designated as the in the definition of the product market. both operators. operator with SMP. Consequently, Ofcom proposed to impose regulatory obligations on comprising both traditional copper local loops and cable connections. Ofcom concluded that loops for the purpose of providing broadband and voice services in the United Kingdom, market for wholesale unbundled access (including shared access) to metallic loops and sub-Under case number UK/2004/0094, Ofcom notified the Commission of its review of the In the letter containing its comments the Commission raised issues

## The wholesale broadband access market

retail level. However, the Commission concluded that exclusion of cable would not have the Hull area<sup>6</sup>. Oftel designated BT as the operator holding SMP in the UK (excluding Hull) and KCOM as the SMP operator in the Hull area and, consequently, imposed regulatory altered the conclusions drawn by Oftel market definition, based on the indirect pricing constraint exerted on DSL-based services at obligations. The Commission commented on the inclusion of cable-based services in the segmented the wholesale broadband access market into the UK area (excluding Hull) and by the Commission earlier under case number UK/2003/0032-0034. At the time, Oftel The first review of the market for wholesale broadband access was notified to and assessed

exchanges with four or more principal operators and exchanges where four or more principal market 1: local exchanges where only BT is present; (iii) market 2: local exchanges with following relevant geographic markets: (i) the Hull area: where only KCOM is present; (ii) forecast, but where the exchange serves fewer than 10000 premises; (iv) market 3: local two or three principal operators' and exchanges where four or more principal operators are UK/2008/0733), Ofcom proposed to segment the market regionally and defined the its second round of analysis of the wholesale broadband access market (case

ش In accordance with Article 6 of the Framework Directive

ŁA. In accordance with Article 5(2) of the Framework Directive

Œ. broadband origination in Hull Asymmetric broadband origination and conveyance in the UK (excluding Hull) and asymmetric

<sup>·~</sup>J operator in an individual exchange area only if it was able to provide services to 65% or more of the which have deployed LLU in more than 10% of the UK. Virgin Media was considered to be a principal Operators which provide broadband services over their own access networks (BT or Virgin Media) or delivery points.

01/06/2010 16:38:01

markets where BT or KCOM were found to be the SMP operators. concluded that there was no SMP operator on market 3. As regards the other regional operators are forecast, but where the exchange serves more than 10 000 premises. Ofcom SMP in the Hull area. Ofcom proposed to impose regulatory obligations on the BT was considered to have SMP on markets 1 and 2 and KCOM was found to

any proposed market boundaries would be sufficiently stable over time different areas. Definition of geographic sub-markets would also entail assessing whether patterns, such as the commercial strategies observed and products/services offered in the differentiation at retail and wholesale level and also any differences in supply and demand indicators would include over time within individual exchange areas should also be looked into. Relevant behavioural alternative operators is sustainable. The distribution of market shares and their development of competitors present in a given exchange area, but also other potentially relevant factors, such as the size/density of the areas in question, in order to establish that the presence of behavioural factors. This should include not only structural indicators, such as the number In the letter containing its comments the Commission stated, inter alia, that definition of geographic sub-markets had to be based on a thorough బ preliminary analysis of pricing, price analysis of structural

#### II.2. Market definition

#### II.2.1. Wholesale local access

market definition and to have a single WLA market for lines for business and residential based and satellite-based WLA. In addition, Ofcom proposes to include self-supply in the and fibre-based local access at a fixed location. It excludes mobile-based, fixed wireless-Ofcom proposes to define the relevant WLA market as including copper-based, cable-based

of WLA products independently of their physical or non-physical nature. network occurring or expected to occur over the next few years due to the deployment of next-generation access (NGA) networks. Ofcom concludes that, although in the past WLA included in the same market. Accordingly, Ofcom defines certain key characteristics (i.e. localness<sup>10</sup>, minimum functions incorporated<sup>11</sup>, service-agnostic<sup>12</sup> and dedicated capacity<sup>13</sup>, products that have underlying characteristics consistent with physical products should be and WBA products were differentiated on the basis of their physical and non-physical nature, the newly deployed FTTC and FTTH network architectures mean that non-physical In order to define the boundaries of the WLA market, Ofcom assesses the changes in the

<sup>00</sup> constraints, relying on the evidence available from previous reviews Ofcom proposes to include cable-based services within the relevant market on the basis of indirect

Ó aims to cover around 40% of UK premises. Under BT's plans, three quarters of those premises would be supplied by fibre-to-the-cabinet (FTTC) and the rest by fibre-to-the-premises (FTTP) technology. Ofcom, BT's current plan for deploying its NGA network runs to the end of 2012, by which time it BT and Virgin Media both now offer retail services that are based on NGA networks. According to

<sup>10</sup> The product should be available at a location close to the end-customer, as LLU is.

نسب بسب which allows communications providers (CPs) to change and control the functions and quality of The inherent capability of the access technology is made available and the service is undimensioned,

<sup>12</sup> The product should not be confined to supporting particular downstream services

<sup>13</sup> dedicated to the end-user, as in the case of LLU The capacity in the access segment (from the premises to the point of interconnection) should be

01/06/2010 16:38:01

European Commission

user); Control of access (sufficient control of the access connection should be available); and multitude of services); Uncontended (dedicated capacity should be available to the end-Control of customer premises equipment (CPE) (sufficient control of CPE should be included in the market for local physical access. The key characteristics for VULA are: explains that although VULA is a product which includes active electronic equipment and virtual unbundled local access (VULA) product. Openreach has been developing a set of Generic Ethernet Access (GEA) products which would form the basis of VULA<sup>16</sup>. Ofcom Local (interconnection should occur locally); Service-agnostic (should be able to support a retail broadband markets. Ofcom proposes to include in the wholesale local access market a On the basis of the substitution analysis and the need to secure adequate competition on the virtual, bitstream-type access, its main features render it similar to products

and (ii) the Hull area. Ofcom proposes to define two geographic WLA markets: (i) the UK excluding the Hull area

#### II.2.2. Wholesale broadband access

reviews. This market includes both business and residential customers. market on the basis of indirect constraints, relying on the evidence available from previous fibre-based, cable-based and LLU-based services. The latter two products are included in the faster than a dial-up connection. Ofcom also proposes to include, as substitutable products, allows both voice and data services to be used simultaneously and provides data at speeds necessary to allow interconnection with other CPs, which provides an always-on capability, Ofcom defines the WBA market as asymmetric broadband access and any backhaul which is

the following relevant geographic markets: Ofcom maintains 17 its view that wholesale broadband access services should be divided into

the Hull area: where only KCOM is present;

<sup>14</sup> Gigabit Passive Optical Network.

د... fibres will require significant manual intervention. connect a relatively low number of households and the process for disconnecting/reconnecting end-user There is likely to be a high number of passive splitter locations where access must be granted to

<sup>5</sup> industry regarding the design of a GEA multi-port master device for use on customers' premises. extended beyond it. In addition, Ofcom explains that further consultations will be held with the function and associated control functions should be available at the local serving exchange and not choose to embed an analogue terminal adaptor (ATA) in the network terminating equipment, the voice is made available (i.e. not tied to another BT product or service); and (ii) in the event that BT were to In order to fulfil the requirements for VULA, the GEA products need to be adapted. In particular, Ofcom explains that: (i) BT would need to ensure that a stand-alone version of its FTTC GEA products

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>~</u> market review except for one minor change. It now proposes to remove the threshold for exchanges with fewer than 10000 premises. Where these exchanges are forecast to have four or more operators that provide broadband services over their own access networks or LLU operators (but currently have Ofcom proposes to keep the same criteria for defining the geographic market as used in the previous fewer than four), they will be included in market 3.

- market 1: local exchanges where only BT is present;
- market 2: local exchanges with two or three principal operators<sup>18</sup> (present
- market 3: local exchanges with four or more principal operators (present or forecast)

### II.3. Finding of significant market power

#### II.3.1. Wholesale local access

analysed: (i) 100% market share; (ii) barriers to entry; and (iii) countervailing buying countervailing buying power. As far as KCOM is following main criteria: (i) high and stable market shares 19; (ii) barriers to entry; and (iii) as SMP operator in the Hull area. With regard to BT's SMP position, Ofcom analysed the that BT has SMP in the UK, excluding the Hull area, and that KCOM should be designated Following its analysis of the market for wholesale local access services, Ofcom concluded concerned, the following criteria were

#### II.3.2. Wholesale broadband access

the previous market review). On markets 1 and 2, BT is considered to have market shares of economies of scale and scope; and (v) countervailing buying power. In volume terms, 98.5% and 69% respectively. KCOM has a 100% share of the market in the Hull area. and 2 and KCOM is found to have SMP in the Hull area. The SMP assessment is based on: (i) market growth and market shares taking into account self-supply by cable and LLU Ofcom estimated that BT accounted for 28.5% of market 3 (compared with about 38% in operators; (ii) future potential market shares; (iii) barriers to entry and expansion; (iv) there is no SMP on market 320 Furthermore, BT is considered to have SMP on markets 1 Following the approach taken in its second review of this market, Ofcom concludes that

#### II.4. Regulatory remedies<sup>21</sup>

#### II.4.1. Wholesale local access

LRIC+ method; (iv) transparency requirements<sup>22</sup>; and (v) cost accounting and accounting network access; (ii) requirement not to discriminate unduly; (iii) price control based on an Ofcom proposes to impose the following obligations on both KCOM and BT: (i) general

Furthermore, Ofcom proposes specifically to impose on BT the requirement to provide local

<sup>8</sup> Orange, O2 and Cable & Wireless Access. the purposes of the review, Ofcom identified the following LLU principal operators: Talk Talk, Sky Ofcom uses the criteria defined in the previous market review to identify the principal operators (see footnote 7). However, the 10% coverage threshold required for LLU operators is no longer applied. For

According to Ofcom, BT's market share in 2009 was 84%.

<sup>20</sup> market 3 comprises 1287 local exchanges and accounts for 71.8% of UK delivery points (excluding the Based on volumes in September 2009 and forecasts made from information supplied by operators

<sup>21</sup> These are voluntary commitments concerning BT's delivery of products to other communications providers, the internal organisation of BT and which part of BT delivers which product. Ofcom clearly differentiates between currently proposed regulatory obligations and BT's undertakings

<sup>23</sup> requirement to notify technical information and transparency as regards quality of service Requirement to publish a reference offer, requirement to notify charges, terms and conditions

loop unbundling (SLU); and (iii) physical infrastructure access (PIA) consisting of duct and loop unbundling (ILLU) services (including shared access) and, in the case of the NGA networks, the requirements to provide (i) virtual unbundled local access (VULA); (ii) sub-

previously imposed in 'A new pricing framework for Openreach' Regarding LLU, Ofcom proposes to maintain the charge control obligation which it had

although the definition of 'appropriate' risk premium would differ between them. charges. The proposed condition would cover both old and new duct and pole infrastructure, condition on PIA should allow an 'appropriate' risk premium to be reflected in BT's incremental cost (LRIC), allowing a mark-up for common cost recovery. The proposed SMP In addition, Ofcom proposes that BT should price PIA and SLU at their long-run

e.g. geographic variations, volume discounts and tiered pricing. However, BT is required to processes and by providing the same information as to its own downstream divisions terms and conditions (including price and service levels), by means of the same systems and provide VULA to CPs on an equivalence of input (EoI) basis, i.e. on the same timescales. In the case of the VULA service. Ofcom would like to allow pricing flexibility,

#### II.4.2. Wholesale broadband access

requirement to notify technical information; (vii) accounting separation; (viii) cost orientation; and (ix) cost accounting. In addition, Ofcom proposes to impose on BT a charge control obligation on market 1. discriminate unduly; (iii) requirement to publish a reference offer; (iv) requirement to notify (i) requirement to provide network access on reasonable request; (ii) requirement not to With regard to markets 1 and 2, Ofcom proposes to impose on BT the following obligations terms and conditions; (v) transparency as regards quality of service; separation; (viii) (IV)

information; and (vii) accounting separation. transparency as regards quality of service; to publish a reference offer; (iv) the requirement to notify charges, terms and conditions; (v) on reasonable request; (ii) the requirement not to discriminate unduly; (iii) the requirement In the Hull area, KCOM should comply with: (i) the requirement to provide network access (vi) the requirement to notify technical

#### III. COMMENTS

the Commission has the following comments<sup>23</sup>. On the basis of the present notifications and the additional information provided by Ofcom,

Market for wholesale local access

### implementation of VULA under the legally binding undertakings Inclusion of a virtual unbundled local access product in the WLA market and

although VULA is characterised as an active NGA product, it has many features equipment sufficient control of the access connection and control of customer premises to which VULAwhich indicate that, in terms of functions, it is equivalent to local loop unbundling The Commission takes note of the substitutability test provided by Ofcom, according the - should be included in market 4 in the Recommendation. In particular, level as a local, service-agnostic, uncontended product, which ensures of, control of the access connection and of the end-user

<sup>23</sup> In accordance with Article 7(3) of the Framework Directive

characteristics. by CPs and to ensure that VULA is fully implemented with the above-mentioned such a product could justify its inclusion in Market 4. However, the Commission invites Ofcom to monitor development of the VULA product and use of the product un-contended nature of this particular service and the level of control granted by consequently does not contest, in the present case, that the local, service agnostic and through a fully unbundled fibre line. All these features distinguish VULA from alternative operator the same freedom to offer retail products as those he could offer service, over the local connection to the end-user, even if it does not give the and thus give access-seekers a sufficient degree of control, including the quality of Furthermore, it should allow product differentiation and innovation similar to LLU be made available at a location close to the end customers' premises, similar to LLU connection provided by the VULA service appears significantly different from the level of control offered by other virtual access products. In particular, VULA should access products, whether regional or national. The

where variations of existing products must be implemented, the Commission calls on Ofcom to ensure that those fulfil all the VULA's functional characteristics. of the access connection and of the end-user connection. Consequently, in cases its GEA products, in particular to offer the interconnecting CP a high level of control understands that the key characteristics of the products rest on adaptation by BT of Undertakings agreed between Ofcom and BT. Moreover, the Commission notes that VULA is based on BT's GEA products, which developed by Openreach and subject to EoI requirements stemming from the The Commission

adopted in order to secure the transparency of the process and adequate involvement of all interested stakeholders, i.e. the Commission and other NRAs. draft stage, to consultation at both national and Community levels before they are undertakings constitute regulatory obligations and must therefore be subject, at the and/or ancillary or replace existing regulatory obligations accepted by the latter, pursuant to their responsibilities under telecommunications undertakings offered by the incumbent operator to the NRA and formally insofar as they are aimed at enforcement of regulatory obligations and amend the Commission would express the ರ those regulatory obligations. must be considered directly related view that legally As such, binding

# Inclusion of cable in the WLA market on the basis of indirect constraints

nonetheless intends to include cable in the WLA market on the basis of indirect between cable lines and copper loops. Despite this fact, unlike other NRAs, Ofcom economically viable, thus ruling out the possibility of direct wholesale substitution Firstly, the Commission would stress that networks does not at this stage appear technologically possible to its knowledge -the unbundling of

proportion of the retail price made up by the price of the wholesale input. Ofcom (notional) WLA would be passed on to the corresponding retail access prices paid by customers. The extent to which retail prices would increase would depend on the estimated this to be between 65% and 75% Under the assumptions of the HMT framework, an increase in the price of the between loop-based and cable-based services, where cable services are available constraints involves assessing the extent of demand-side and supply-side substitution According to Ofcom, the hypothetical monopolist test (HMT) analysis of indirect

to why any price increase would be entirely passed on to the end-users of retail The Commission is concerned that Ofcom has not provided sufficient justification as

European Commission

increase would further weaken the substitution effect at the retail level absorb a 10% price increase in their margins. Incomplete pass-through of this price price increase could also affect other retail products, such as voice telephony and retail level to render that price increase unprofitable, in particular because an LLU IPTV. Moreover, it is doubtful that competitors would not be able at least partly to broadband access products or that sufficient demand substitution would take place at

challenge Ofcom's finding, but urges the British regulator not to include cable in the to the outcome of this market review. For this reason the Commission does not assuming a broader market definition, inclusion of cable hence makes no difference not to hold SMP on the broader market. As BT was found to hold SMP, even WLA market in its final measure inclusion of into account at the stage of the SMP assessment. However, as Ofcom admits itself pricing constraints from the retail market are found to exist, they should be taken competitive constraints on LLU. in the market definition, as its inclusion would lead to overstatement of the For these reasons, the Commission takes the view that cable should not be included cable could affect the SMP conclusions only if an operator were found As previously stated by the Commission, where

## Choice of proportionate and justified access remedies

selective deployment in densely populated areas where sufficient aggregation could whether GPON unbundling could be cost-effective, particularly if BT undertakes required forward-looking approach, architecture implemented by the SMP operator. In this respect, in line with the of principle, require unbundled access to the fibre loop irrespective of the network premises. However, in the context of NGA developments, NRAs should, as a matter that alternative operators can also rely on the SLU and the PIA remedies to climb the allows competitive entry on the WLA market<sup>24</sup> ladder of investment and roll out their own fibre lines closer to their customers' unbundling would not be a justified and proportionate remedy and agrees that evidence provided, the Commission does not challenge the finding that today fibre could result in a low level of aggregation of households served. On the basis of the unlikely to be cost-effective in the UK due to BT's network GPON topology, which VUI.A, which offers characteristics that appear comparable to fibre unbundling The Commission notes that Ofcom does not impose fibre unbundling, given that it is the Commission invites Ofcom to assess In addition, the Commission notes

Furthermore, the Commission invites Ofcom to re-assess the proposed remedies as soon as the technology enabling fibre unbundling (e.g. WDM<sup>25</sup>) is available. In this control over the end-users (also allowing to offer retail products improved compared a transitional measure. In the long run, fibre unbundling will allow full and direct respect, the Commission would stress that a VULA remedy should be considered as ) is available. In this

feasible, relevant obligations for the provision of non-physical or virtual network access offering circumstances where unbundled access to local loop or sub-loop is not technically or economically communications networks and services (the 'Better Regulation Directive'), which states that 'in communications networks and associated facilities, and 2002/20/EC on the authorisation of electronic 25 November 2009 amending Directives 2002/21/EC on a common regulatory framework for electronic communications networks and services, 2002/19/EC on access to, and interconnection of, electronic equivalent functionality may apply. See also recital 60 of Directive 2009/140/L/C of the European Parliament and of the Council of

<sup>25</sup> Wavelength Division Multiplexing

the adoption of a previous measure relating to that market corresponding draft measure in accordance with Article 7a within three years from NRAs must, as a rule, carry out an analysis of the relevant market and notify the fibre infrastructure). The Commission would also point to the fact that, pursuant to Article 16(6) of the Framework Directive, as amended by Directive 2009/140/EC<sup>26</sup>, principles (i.e. whether there is a possibility of providing unbundled access to the whether its market definition and proposed remedies are in line with competition law Instead, Ofcom should, in this particular case, review within a shorter period of time definition and remedies, i.e. four years after adoption of the notified draft remedies. should possibly continue to be required in addition Commission expresses concerns about the timeframe for the review of the market Consequently, considering the replaced by fibre unbundling as soon as it is technically and economically feasible or the next rung on the ladder of investment. Accordingly, the VULA remedy should be to those offered by the access provider through an unbundled fibre line) and provide transitional nature of the VULA to full fibre unbundling. product, the

## Lack of price control to be imposed for VULA

setting, in order to drive both competition and investment in NGAs. Hence, the price account any additional and quantifiable investment risk incurred by the of access to unbundled fibre loop should, in principle, be cost-oriented, taking into appropriately adjusted for investment risk, according to the specific contractual Commission would recall that, as a general rule, access prices need to be cost-oriented. In accordance with the regulatory framework, such prices can be adequately prevent BT from favouring its downstream divisions. However, the strict interpretation of 'no undue discrimination' under the EoI requirements should any pricing adopted by BT would need to be fair and non-discriminatory and that oriented physical remedies (e.g. PIA and SLU). Furthermore, Ofcom explains that control, from competition from cable operators at the retail level and from other costand revenue and the constraints from current generation broadband subject to price flexibility for VULA (e.g. regarding geographic variations, volume discounts or tiered pricing), given the uncertainties surrounding the forecasts for demand, costs Commission takes note of Ofcom's proposal to allow significant pricing

#### Migration process in an NGA context

interconnection points. The Commission therefore invites Ofcom to include, as part copper access network with fibre and plans to decommission currently used network, particularly when the SMP operator envisages replacing part of its existing fibre loops and the dismantling of exchanges could substantially affect the business case for alternative operators. It is therefore critical that CPs obtain all relevant information from the SMP operator concerning any planned alterations to the broadband services. The Commission would recall that migration from copper to context of the lower number of main distribution frames (MDFs) required to provide obligations relating to the migration from copper to fibre loops, in particular in the Commission notes that Ofcom's notification has not considered detailed

and associated facilities, and 2002/20/EC on the authorisation of electronic communications networks and services (the 'Better Regulation Directive'), QJL 337, 28.12.2009, p. 37. and services, 2002/19/EC on access to, and interconnection of, electronic communications networks Directives 2002/21/EC on a common regulatory framework for electronic communications networks Directive 2009/140/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 amending

topology. procedure for alternative operators in the event of planned changes in BT's network of the transparency obligation, a requirement for BT to put forward a migration

### consistent Kuropean approach Remedics imposed in the context of NGA development and need for a

contribute significantly to development of NGA infrastructure-based competition in and fibre-based services in both relevant markets. This approach is expected to The Commission welcomes the fact that Ofcom has included fibre access networks

along the lines of this Recommendation, once adopted. its analysis when it carries out its next review of wholesale broadband markets, networks across the EU. In the light of this, the Commission invites Ofcom to revisit on NGA remedies in order to ensure a consistent regulatory approach to the relevant internal market. To this end, the Commission is working towards a Recommendation for investors and to prevent undesirable divergences of regulatory approaches on the further guidance in the context of the NGA roll-out in order to ensure legal certainty In this context, the Commission would highlight that it is necessary to provide

Market for wholesale broadband access

# Inclusion of self-supply in market 5 on the basis of indirect constraints

market on indirect constraints stemming from the underlying retail markets. Ofcom bases its inclusion of cable- and LLU-based WBA services in the relevant

appropriate criteria against which the nature of such indirect substitution effects may be assessed<sup>27</sup>. should be correctly reflected in the assessment and the Commission has set out essential that the strength of the constraints posed by vertically integrated companies constraints are the market for wholesale access services and that, where such indirect pricing vertically integrated undertakings may be such as to exert an indirect constraint on The Commission has noted in the past that competition at the retail level from As already underlined by the Commission in previous cases, it is found to exist, they should be taken into account in the SMP

that competitors would not be able at least partly to absorb this price increase in their would be entirely passed on to the end-users of retail broadband access products and would highlight that Ofcom has not provided sufficient evidence that a price increase In response to the arguments put forward by Ofcom in this respect, the Commission

approach, even if indirect constraints were taken into account in the market power assessment rather than at the market definition stage, this would not have led to a capable of exercising a sufficient competitive constraint. Thus, in view of this market definition only for exchange areas where Ofcom considers their presence Commission notes that Ofcom takes indirect constraints into account in its

electronic communications networks and services (C(2007) 5406), pp. 34-35. the electronic communications sector susceptible to ex unte regulation in accordance with Directive 2002/21/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on a common regulatory framework for Explanatory Note to the Commission Recommendation on relevant product and service markets within UK/2003/0032, NL/2005/0281, AT/2005/0312 and UK/2007/0733

assessment is not relevant to the regulatory outcome, this question could be left open should be taken into account in the definition of the relevant market or in the SMP significantly different outcome than the one currently proposed by Ofcom<sup>28</sup>. The Commission therefore considers that, since a conclusion on whether such constraints

### access market Criteria used for the geographic differentiation of the wholesale broadband

additional structural and behavioural evidence is necessary competition within a geographic area are similar or sufficiently homogeneous, sufficiently detailed or robust to identify real differences in competitive conditions primarily on the number of operators present in a local exchange is not, in itself, Commission's view remains valid, i.e. that a geographic delineation which is based definition The Commission would reiterate its comments in case UK/2008/0733 regarding the purposes of the market of, sub-national markets for wholesale definition. In assessing whether conditions of broadband access.

the incumbent and alternative operators and at its evolution over time in the relevant competitive pressures. It is also considered appropriate to look at the pricing of both wholesale pricing which might apply could help indicate different regional or local and the evolution of shares over time. In addition, evidence of differentiated retail or Relevant evidence would include information on the distribution of market shares

could therefore lead to incorrect conclusions about the state of competition and scope acquisitions which lowered the number of competitors on markets defined by Ofcom. Application of the number of operators as the sole criterion for SMP assessment The position set out above can be important in the context of mergers and

measures and, where it does so, shall communicate them to the Commission Pursuant to Article 7(5) of the Framework Directive, Ofcom shall take the utmost account comments of other NRAs and the Commission and may adopt the resulting draft

position it may take vis-à-vis other notified draft measures The Commission's position on this particular notification is without prejudice to any

document on its website. Pursuant to Point 15 of Recommendation 2008/850/EC29 the Commission will publish this The Commission does not consider the information contained

operators increasing their provision of wholesale services the request for information, Ofcom also indicates that there are no obvious constraints to LLU services to third parties (albeit low volumes) or planning to do so in the near future. In its response to Furthermore, Ofcom indicates that most of the large LLU operators are either already selling wholesale LLU in local exchange areas would nonetheless need to have been factored into the SMP analysis. have resulted in a different geographic segmentation of the market, the constraint posed by cable and been different had cable and/or LLU not been included at the market definition stage and this could In that regard, while the number of operators and their market shares at a given exchange might have

<sup>29</sup> oonsultations provided for in Article 7 of Directive 2002/21/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on a common regulatory framework for electronic communications networks and services, Commission Recommendation 2008/850/EC of 15 October 2008 on notifications, time limits and OJ L 301, 12.11.2008, p. 23

days following receipt whether you consider that, in accordance with EU and national rules on business confidentiality, this document contains confidential information which you wish to have deleted prior to such publication<sup>31</sup>. You should give reasons for any such request. herein to be confidential. You are invited to inform the Commission<sup>30</sup> within three working

Yours sincerely,
For the Commission,
Robert Madelin
Director-General

<sup>30</sup> Your request should be sent either by email: INFSO-COMP-ARTICLE7@ec.europa.eu or by fax: +32 2298 87 82.

دب سن period. The Commission may inform the public of the result of its assessment before the end of this three-day