

Representing:

Self

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?

Keep name confidential

Question 1: Are there any reasonable grounds why Ofcom should not grant the request to vary the five Wireless Telegraphy Third Generation Mobile Licences by increasing the permitted maximum in-band EIRP to 68dBm as soon as practicable? If so, please explain your reasoning for this:

This application represents a 4 Times signal power boost to ALL 3G Mobile Masts in the UK. This poses too great a potential health risk for the future, for which OFCOM will be deemed liable and negligent in its duty of care. We live 50m from a 3G mast. Since it was installed 7 years ago my husband has suffered a brain haemorrhage and throat cancer. Mast emissions as they currently stand HAVE been proven to be harmful to health in numerous studies and reviews. A 'precautionary principle' re the siting of masts was advocated in a Government study from Sir William Stewart. This application which will allow operators to increase power output 4 fold most certainly does not adhere to the Governments advice on 'potential harm from mobile phone masts' specifically to the young and vulnerable. OFCOM CANNOT allow blanket permission for all operators to increase the power of their masts, and especially those sited in urban residential areas, often metres from residents bedrooms.

Question 2: Are there any reasonable grounds why Ofcom should not also apply the increased permitted maximum in-band EIRP to future 2 GHz MSS/CGC licences? If so, please explain your reasoning for this:

This application represents a 4 Times signal power boost to ALL 2G Mobile Masts in the UK. This poses too great a potential health risk for the future, for which OFCOM will be deemed liable and negligent in its duty of care. Consequently, the UK population will be subjected to significantly more damaging microwave radiation ? at a time when some other countries are reducing their exposure limits. We live 50m from a 3G mast. Since it was installed 7 years ago my husband has suffered a brain haemorrhage and throat cancer. Mast emissions as they currently stand HAVE been proven to be harmful to health in numerous studies and reviews. A 'precautionary principle' re the siting of masts was advocated in a Government study from Sir William Stewart. This application which will allow operators to increase power output 4 fold most certainly does not adhere to the Governments advice on 'potential harm from mobile phone masts' specifically to the young and vulnerable. OFCOM CANNOT allow blanket permission for all operators to increase the power of their masts, and especially those sited in urban residential areas, often metres from residents bedrooms.